

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

JUNIOR M. HERNANDEZ-REGALADO, #59016-177	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Case 3:18-CV-0812-D
	§	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Defendants.	§	
	§	

ORDER

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions and a recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The district judge reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the court adopts the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge.


It is therefore ordered that this action is dismissed without prejudice for failure to comply with a court order. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The court prospectively certifies that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3); Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(3). In support of this finding, the court adopts and incorporates by reference the magistrate judge's findings, conclusions and recommendation. *See Baugh v. Taylor*, 117 F.3d 197, 202 n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the findings, the court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983). In the event of an appeal, plaintiff may challenge this certification by filing a separate motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal with the Clerk of the Court, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

See Baugh, 117 F.3d at 202; Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(5).

SO ORDERED.

August 8, 2018.



SIDNEY A. FITZWATER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE