## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

§ §

§ § §

§ § §

§

OKOEGUALE OBINYAN, Plaintiff, VS. PRIME THERAPEUTICS LLC, et al., Defendants.

Civil Action No. 3:18-CV-0933-D

## <u>ORDER</u>

The court referred the following motions to the United States Magistrate Judge for recommendation: (1) defendant Prime Therapeutics LLC's ("Prime's") motion to dismiss, filed June 1, 2018; (2) defendant Robert Half International Inc.'s ("Robert Half's") motion to dismiss, filed June 21, 2018; (3) defendant Walgreens Co.'s ("Walgreens") motion to dismiss, filed August 21, 2018; (4) defendant Mark Mason's ("Mason's") motion to dismiss, filed August 22, 2018; and (5) defendant Penelope Boyd-Gear's ("Boyd-Gear's ") motion to dismiss, filed August 30, 2018. The magistrate judge filed her findings, conclusion, and recommendation on December 13, 2018. Plaintiff has not filed an objection.

After making an independent review of the pleadings, files, and records in this case, and the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge, the court concludes that the findings and conclusions are correct. It is therefore ordered that the findings, conclusions, and recommendation of the magistrate judge are adopted.

Accordingly, the motions to dismiss of defendants Walgreens, Robert Half, Mason, and Boyd-Gear are granted, and plaintiff's actions against these defendants are dismissed with prejudice by Fed. R. Civ. P.54(b) judgment filed today. Defendant Prime's motion to dismiss for insufficient service of process is granted to the extent that plaintiff has 21 days from the date of this order to properly effectuate service of process on Prime. If plaintiff fails to do so, the court may dismiss his claims against Prime without further notice for failure to prosecute. Prime's motion to dismiss plaintiff's complaint pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) is denied without prejudice.

## SO ORDERED.

January 18, 2019.

alma Litputer

SIDNEY A. FITZWATER