Higgs v. Wilson Doc. 11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

LAMONT DEJUAN HIGGS,	)	
Petitioner,	)	
vs.	)	**No. 3:18-CV-2537-M**
	)	
WARDEN WILSON,	)	
Respondent.	)	

ORDER ACCEPTING AMENDED FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

After reviewing the objections to the Amended Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and conducting a *de novo* review of those parts of the Findings and Conclusions to which objections have been made, I am of the opinion that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court.

For the reasons stated in the Amended Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, the petition for habeas corpus filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 is **DENIED** with prejudice.

A certificate of appealability (COA) is not required to appeal in a case under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. *See Montano v. Texas*, 867 F.3d 540, 547 n.8 (5th Cir. 2017). If the petitioner files a notice of appeal, he must pay the $505.00 appellate filing fee or submit a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* and a properly signed certificate of inmate trust account.

SIGNED this 26th day of November, 2018.

BARBARA M. G. LYNN
CHIEF JUDGE

Dockets.Justia.com