

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
DALLAS DIVISION

PAUL MCLEAN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
ADAM KING, <i>Sheriff, et al.</i> ,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	Civil Action No. 3:20-CV-1244-C-BK

**ORDER**

Before the Court are the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge therein advising the Court that this civil action should be summarily dismissed with prejudice.<sup>1</sup>

The Court conducts a *de novo* review of those portions of the Magistrate Judge’s report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which a timely objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Portions of the report or proposed findings or recommendations that are not the subject of a timely objection will be accepted by the Court unless they are clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989).

After due consideration and having conducted a *de novo* review, the Court finds that Plaintiff’s objections should be **OVERRULED**. The Court has further conducted an independent review of the Magistrate Judge’s findings and conclusions and finds no error. It is therefore **ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation are hereby


---

<sup>1</sup> Plaintiff filed objections to the Magistrate Judge’s Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation on October 5, 2020.

**ADOPTED** as the findings and conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated therein, this civil action is hereby **DISMISSED** with prejudice as frivolous and for failure to state a claim.

See 28 U.S.C. 1915(e)(2)(B).

SO ORDERED this 13<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2020.



---

SAM R. CUMMINGS  
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE