Ross v. USA Doc. 3

Case 3:20-cv-02245-B-BH Document 3 Filed 08/17/20 Page 1 of 2 PageID 11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	
v.)	No. 3:08-CR-167-B(3)
)	
JARVIS DUPREE ROSS,)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

After reviewing all relevant matters of record in this case, including the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and any objections thereto, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), the Court is of the opinion that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court. For the reasons stated in the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, the defendant's Motion Requesting Assistance of Counsel is CONSTRUED as a successive motion to vacate sentence filed under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to (1) terminate the motion in this case; (2) open a new § 2255 habeas case; (3) docket the motion as a § 2255 motion filed June 30, 2020, in that new case; (4) directly assign the new case to the same District Judge and Magistrate Judge as in this case; (5) file a copy of the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge and this order accepting those Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation; and (6) without further judicial action, immediately TRANSFER the newly opened § 2255 action to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. I

¹A certificate of appealability (COA) is not required to appeal an order transferring a successive habeas petition. See In re Garrett, 633 F. App'x 260, 261 (5th Cir. 2016); United States v. Fulton, 780 F.3d 683 (5th

SIGNED this 17th day of August, 2020.

JANÆ J. BOYLA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE