

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

GLADSTON EDWARD WILSON,	§	
#2153710	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
v.	§	No. 3:21-cv-00349-K (BT)
	§	
THE STATE OF TEXAS, et al.,	§	
Respondents.	§	

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND
DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY**

After making an independent review of the pleadings, files and records in this case, and the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge dated November 2, 2022, the Court finds that the Findings and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge are correct, and they are accepted as the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the Court. **IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge are accepted.

Considering the record in this case and pursuant to [Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22\(b\) and Rule 11\(a\)](#) of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Proceedings in the United States District Court, and [28 U.S.C. § 2253\(c\)](#), the Court **DENIES** a certificate of appealability. The Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions, and Recommendation filed in this case in

support of its finding that the petitioner has failed to show that (1) reasonable jurists would find this Court’s “assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong,” or (2) reasonable jurists would find “it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right” and “debatable whether [this Court] was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

On March 15, 2021, Petitioner was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. That status will carry over on appeal.

SO ORDERED.

Signed November 17th, 2022.



ED KINKEADE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE