ORIGNAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
MORTHERN DIST. OF TX.
FT. WORTH DIVISION
2828 MAR 27 PM 3: 18

CLERK OF COURT

AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

-V.-

No. 4:07-CV-487-A

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

AGREED MOTION TO EXTEND DEADLINE TO DESIGNATE EXPERTS

In accordance with Rules 26 and 29 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's scheduling order, Plaintiff, American Airlines, Inc. ("American"), and Defendant, Google Inc. ("Google"), jointly file this agreed motion to (1) extend the initial deadline to designate experts and (2) set deadlines for designating rebuttal experts.

I. BACKGROUND AND BASIS FOR REQUEST

The October 26, 2007 scheduling order provides, in pertinent part, that: "Each party shall designate experts by filing a written designation including the name, address, and telephone number of each expert who may be called to testify and make the disclosures required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26 (a) (2) by serving the required written reports at least 120 days before the pretrial conference date." 10/26/07 Order, at p. 6, ¶ 15. The Court set the pre-trial conference for 10:00 a.m. on September 2, 2008. 10/26/07 Order at p. 2, ¶ 5. The parties' deadline, therefore, to designate experts and otherwise comply with Rule 26(a)(2) is May 5, 2008.

The parties remain actively engaged in the discovery process, including written discovery and depositions. Discovery in this case is a huge undertaking, with several hundred thousand pages of documents having already been exchanged. Before the parties' respective experts

prepare their reports and are designated, the parties would like for them to have the opportunity, to the extent possible, to fully digest and evaluate both these documents and the results of the additional discovery currently being undertaken. The parties also agree that rebuttal experts will be necessary in this case.

The parties, therefore, seek an extension of the original expert designation deadline and a subsequent deadline for the designation of rebuttal experts. The parties have agreed on the following schedule:

DATE	DESIGNATION
May 22, 2008	Each party shall designate any expert conducting a survey ("Survey Expert") by filing a written designation including the name, address, and telephone number of each expert who may be called to testify and make the disclosures required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26 (a) (2) by serving the required written reports.
June 9, 2008	Each party shall designate any rebuttal experts commenting on the reports of the Survey Experts by filing a written designation including the name, address, and telephone number of each expert who may be called to testify and make the disclosures required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26 (a) (2) by serving the required written reports.
June 9, 2008	Each party shall designate any experts (except Survey Experts) for any issue on which that party has the burden of persuasion, by filing a written designation including the name, address, and telephone number of each expert who may be called to testify and make the disclosures required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26 (a) (2) by serving the required written reports.
June 30, 2008	Each party shall designate any rebuttal experts to the experts designated on June 9, 2008, by filing a written designation including the name, address, and telephone number of each expert who may be called to testify and make the disclosures required by FED. R. CIV. P. 26 (a) (2) by serving the required written reports.

The parties have further agreed that any party making any expert designation will (1) produce in an organized fashion compatible with the expert report copies of all documents (including the verbatim responses from consumer used in the surveys) relied on by the expert no later than 24 hours after the date the expert report is due; and, (2) make each designated expert

available for oral deposition within one week of the date that the expert is designated, subject to the right of the party taking the deposition to schedule it for a later date.

These extensions will not affect any other Court deadlines, and will not delay the trial of this case.

II. PRAYER

The parties jointly and respectfully request that the Court grant their motion and make an order extending the deadline to designate experts and set deadlines for rebuttal experts as described above.

Dee J. Kelly (State Bar No. 11217000)

Dee J. Kelly, Jx (State Bar No. 11217250)

Lars L. Berg (State Bar No. 00787072)

KELLY HART & HALDMAN LLP 201 Main Street, Suite 2500

Fort Worth, Texas 76102

Phone: (817) 332-2500 Fax: (817) 878-9280

Frederick Brown (admitted pro hac vice)

George A. Nicoud III (admitted pro hac vice)

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

One Montgomery St., Suite 3100

San Francisco, CA 94104

Phone: (415) 393-8200

Fax: (415) 986-5309

Terence P. Ross (admitted pro hac vice)

Howard S. Hogan (admitted pro hac vice)

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 955-8500

Fax: (202) 467-0539

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph F. Cleveland, Jr.

State Bar No. 04378900

BRACKETT & ELLIS, P.C.

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

100 Main Street

Fort Worth, TX 76102-3090

Phone: (817) 338-1700

Metro: (817) 429-9181

Fax: (817) 870-2265

Michael H. Page (admitted pro hac vice)

California Bar No. 154913

Klaus H. Hamm (admitted pro hac vice)

California Bar No. 224905

Benjamin Berkowitz (admitted pro hac vice)

California Bar No. 244441

KEKER & VAN NEST LLP

710 Sansome Street

San Francisco, CA 94111-1704

Phone: (415) 391-5400

Fax: (415) 397-7188

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was delivered on March 2008 to Defendant's counsel, as follows:

Joseph F. Cleveland, Jr. BRACKETT & ELLIS, P.C. 100 Main Street Fort Worth, TX 76102-3090

Michael H. Page Klaus H. Hamm KEKER & VAN NEST LLP 710 Sansome Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1704