

ORDER

On June 25, 2010, United States Magistrate Judge Jeffrey
Cureton issued his proposed findings and conclusions and his
recommendation in the above-captioned action. The recommendation
is that the decision of defendant, Michael J. Astrue,
Commissioner of Social Security, ("Commissioner") that plaintiff,
Carolyn Bassett, is "not entitled to or eligible for a period of
disability or disability insurance benefits under sections 216(i)
and 223, respectively, or Supplemental Security Income payments
under sections 1602 and 1614(a)(3)(A) of the Social Security
Act," be affirmed. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, Magistrate
Judge Cureton granted each party until July 16, 2010, to serve
and file written objections to his proposed findings and
conclusions and recommendation. Neither party filed an
objection. After a thorough study of the filings of the parties,
the magistrate judge's proposed findings and conclusions, and the

¹The language quoted in the text is the decision of the Appeals Council, Tr. at 9, which is the final decision of the Commissioner, <u>id.</u> at 6.

applicable legal authorities, the court has decided to adopt

Magistrate Judge Cureton's proposed findings and conclusions and
accept his recommendation. Therefore,

The court ORDERS that the decision of defendant that plaintiff is not entitled to or eligible for a period of disability or disability insurance benefits under sections 216(i) and 223, respectively, or Supplemental Security Income payments under sections 1602 and 1614(a)(3)(A) of the Social Security Act, be, and is hereby, affirmed.

SIGNED July 20, 2010.

MN MCBRYDE

nited States District Judge