## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

| AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Plaintiff, | ) |
| TRAVELPORT LIMITED, a foreign | ) |
| corporation, and TRAVELPORT, LP, a | ) |
| Delaware limited partnership, d/b/a | ) |
| TRAVELPORT; | ) |
| And | ) |
| ORBITZ WORLDWIDE, LLC, | ) |
| a Delaware limited liability company, | ) |
| d/b/a ORBITZ, | ) |
| Defendants. |  |

## TRAVELPORT LIMITED AND TRAVELPORT, LP'S RULE 12(b)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF CAN BE GRANTED

Defendants Travelport Limited and Travelport, LP (collectively "Travelport") file their Rule 12(b)6) motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court as follows:

1. Plaintiff American Airlines, Inc.'s ("AA") antitrust claims filed against Travelport are factually and legally defective, and should be dismissed for failure to state a claim: (a) AA has not alleged and cannot allege facts establishing Travelport's dominance of the U.S. global distribution system ("GDS") market; (b) AA has failed to allege facts establishing a single-brand market consisting of Travelport services to Travelport travel agents; (c) AA cannot rely on foreign markets, the activities in which this Court has no jurisdiction over, to claim a monopolistic share or unlawful monopolistic activities on the part of Travelport; and (d) AA
has failed to allege facts establishing exclusionary conduct on Travelport's part.
2. AA's conspiracy claims against Travelport should be dismissed for failure to state a claim because (a) Travelport's and Orbitz's common control makes it impossible for those entities to have engaged in an illegal conspiracy; and (b) alleging a conspiracy between Travelport and "unnamed industry participants" is insufficient to state a claim.
3. AA's state law claims of tortious interference should be dismissed; the Airline Deregulation Act preempts them.

Travelport thus requests that the Court dismiss all of AA's claims for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, as explained more fully in the accompanying memorandum in support.
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