



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
 FORT WORTH DIVISION

MOCKINGBIRD DENTAL GROUP, P.C., §  
 §  
 Plaintiff, §  
 §  
 VS. §  
 §  
 SABRINA CARNEGIE, F/K/A §  
 IULIANNA RYCHKOVA, §  
 §  
 Defendant. §

NO. 4:15-CV-404-A

MEMORANDUM OPINION  
 and  
ORDER

Before the court for decision is the first amended motion for substituted service filed by plaintiff, Mockingbird Dental Group, P.C., on June 30, 2015. After having considered such motion, the appendix, and applicable legal authorities, the court has concluded that the motion should be granted in part and denied in part.

I.

Plaintiff's Motion and Supporting Affidavits

Plaintiff, by way of Rule 4(e)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 106(b)(2) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, moved for an order allowing service of process to be made on defendant, Sabrina Carnegie formerly known as Iulianna

Rychkova, by mailing a copy of the summons, complaint, and the order of the court authorizing substituted service to 5301 Alpha Road, Apartment 258, Dallas, Texas 75240 by regular mail, and by emailing a copy of the summons, complaint, and the order of the court authorizing substituted service to [sabrinascoinlaundry@gmail.com](mailto:sabrinascoinlaundry@gmail.com).

I.

Analysis

Rule 4(e)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that a defendant may be served in a judicial district of the United States by "following state law for serving a summons in an action brought in courts of general jurisdiction in the state where the district court is located . . . ." Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(e)(1). Rule 106(a) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure authorizes service of process by personal delivery to the defendant or by mailing process to the defendant by registered or certified mail. Rule 106(b) authorizes substitute methods of service when the plaintiff makes a showing that service pursuant to 106(a) was unsuccessful. Rule 106(b) states:

Upon motion supported by affidavit stating the location of the defendant's usual place of business or usual place of abode or other place where the defendant can probably be found and stating specifically the facts showing that service has been attempted [by personal delivery or by certified mail] at the location named in

such affidavit but has not been successful, the court may authorize service

(1) by leaving a true copy of the citation, with a copy of the petition attached, with anyone over sixteen years of age at the location specified in such affidavit, or

(2) in any other manner that the affidavit or other evidence before the court shows will be reasonably effective to give the defendant notice of the suit.

Tex. R. Civ. P. 106(b). The court may authorize substituted service pursuant to Rule 106(b) only if the plaintiff's supporting affidavit strictly complies with the requirements of the Rule. Wilson v. Dunn, 800 S.W.2d 833, 836 (Tex. 1990). The Texas Supreme Court has authorized substituted service under 106(b) by first class mail, postage prepaid, where there was "sufficient evidence to establish that notice sent to this address would be reasonably effective to give [defendant] notice of the suit." State Farm Fire and Cas. Co. v. Costley, 868 S.W.2d 298 (Tex. 1993).

Exhibit B-11 to plaintiff's motion contained financing statements in the name of Sabrina FKA Iulianna Rychkova Carnegie and a search certificate issued by the Office of the Secretary of

State of Texas. The search certificate bears a signature and the seal of the State of Texas which are sufficient indices of reliability under Federal Rule of Evidence 902 to consider the documents self-authenticated. Fed. R. Evid. 902. The UCC financing statement amendment attached to the search certificate stated that defendant's mailing address is 5301 Alpha Road #258, Dallas, Texas 75240. Exhibit A, the affidavit of non-service by Gerard D. Hudspeth ("Hudspeth"), a private process server, stated that such address is an apartment that is presently occupied by "Sabrina Rychkova," and that he attempted personal service on defendant at that address on June 1, 3, and 4, 2015, but was unsuccessful. The evidence indicated that Sabrina Rychkova is Sabrina Carnegie f/k/a Iulianna Rychkova.

Plaintiff's evidence is sufficient for the court to find that (1) the above-described address is a place where the defendant can probably be found, (2) service has been attempted under Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 106(a)(1) but such service has not been successful, and (3) notice sent to the above-described address would be reasonably effective in giving defendant notice of the suit.

As to plaintiff's request for substituted service via e-mail, plaintiff has provided the court with no legal authority for the proposition that e-mail is an appropriate method of service.

III.

Order

Therefore,

The court ORDERS that plaintiff's motion for substituted service be, and is hereby, granted as to plaintiff's request for substituted service by sending a copy of the summons, complaint, and this order by regular first-class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to "Sabrina Carnegie f/k/a Iulianna Rychkova to 5301 Alpha Road, Apartment 258, Dallas, Texas 75240," and in all other things denied.

SIGNED July 10, 2015.



---

JOHN McBRYLE  
United States District Judge