IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

Plaintiff, S V. SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVINCING INC., Defendants. S Civil Action No. 4:17-cv-00658-O-BP S Civil Action No. 4:17-cv-00658-O-BP S Civil Action No. 4:17-cv-00658-O-BP	JOHN CULBERTSON,	§	
v. \$ Civil Action No. 4:17-cv-00658-O-BP \$ SELECT PORTFOLIO \$ SERVINCING INC., \$ \$		§	
SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVINCING INC., S SERVINCING INC., S SERVINCING INC., S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	Plaintiff,	§	
SELECT PORTFOLIO SERVINCING INC., S SERVINCING INC., S SERVINCING INC., S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S		§	
SELECT PORTFOLIO \$ SERVINCING INC., \$ \$	v.	§	Civil Action No. 4:17-cv-00658-O-BP
SERVINCING INC., § §		§	
§	SELECT PORTFOLIO	§	
§ Defendants. §	SERVINCING INC.,	§	
Defendants. §		§	
	Defendants.	§	

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions, and a recommendation in this case. No objections were filed, and the Magistrate Judge's recommendation is ripe for review. The District Judge reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions, and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the undersigned District Judge is of the opinion that the Findings and Conclusions of the Magistrate Judge are correct and they are accepted as the Findings and Conclusions of the Court.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (ECF No. 6) is **GRANTED** and Plaintiff's claims are **DISMISSED** with **prejudice**.

SO ORDERED on this 28th day of December, 2017.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE