

EXHIBIT 10



Homeland Security

March 4, 2013

Shoba Sivaprasad Wadhia, Esq.
Clinical Professor and Director
Penn State/Center for Immigrants Rights
329 Innovation Blvd
Suite 118
State College, Pennsylvania 16803

Re: **COW2012000939**
2013-HQFO-00305

Dear Ms. Wadhia:

This is the final response to your Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request to the Department of Homeland Security (DHS), dated and received by the United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) on September 13, 2012 and received by this office on November 16, 2012. Specifically, you requested the disclosure of any and all records that were prepared, received, transmitted, collected, and/or maintained by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and/or U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) that describe, refer, or relate to applications for deferred action since June 17, 2011, and Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) since August 15, 2012.

A search for documents responsive to your request produced a total of 459 pages. Of those pages, I have determined that 406 pages of the records are releasable in their entirety and 53 pages are partially releasable pursuant to Title 5 U.S.C. § 552 (b)(7)E), FOIA Exemptions b7(E).


You have a right to appeal the above withholding determination. Should you wish to do so, you must send your appeal and a copy of this letter, within 60 days of the date of this letter, to: Associate General Counsel (General Law), Mailstop 0655, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Washington, D.C. 20528, following the procedures outlined in the DHS regulations at 6 C.F.R. § 5.9. Your envelope and letter should be marked "FOIA Appeal." Copies of the FOIA and DHS regulations are available at www.dhs.gov/foia.

The Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) also mediates disputes between FOIA requesters and Federal agencies as a non-exclusive alternative to litigation. If you are requesting access to your own records (which is considered a Privacy Act request), you should know that OGIS does not have the authority to handle requests made under the Privacy Act of 1974. If you wish to contact OGIS, you may email them at ogis@nara.gov or call 1-877-684-6448.

Provisions of the FOIA allow us to recover part of the cost of complying with your request. In this instance, because the cost is below the \$14 minimum, there is no charge. 6 CFR § 5.11(d)(4).

If you need to contact our office again about this matter, please refer to **COW201200093, HQFO-00305**. This office can be reached at 866-431-0486.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "James VML Holzer, J." The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, sweeping flourish at the end.

James Holzer
Director
Disclosure and FOIA Operations

Enclosure(s): Responsive Documents



National Standard Operating Procedures (SOP)

**Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals
(DACA)**

(Form I-821D and Form I-765)

Prepared by: Service Center Operations Directorate

September 13, 2012
Version 1.0

Table of Contents

Chapter	Page #
1: Definitions and Applicability to DACA	5-14
2: Introduction	15-18
3: Summary of the Overall Process Flow for DACA Filings	19-20
4: Lockbox Intake	21-22
5: Service Center Intake	23-29
6: Background and Security Checks	30-38
7: DACA Overview	39-41
8: Adjudication of the DACA Request	
A. Procedural Overview	42
B. System Searches	43
C. Determining if Guidelines are Met	44-63
D. Economic Necessity	64
E. Removal Proceedings	65-70
F. Fingerprints and RAP Sheets	71-73
G. Evaluating Issues of Criminality, Public Safety, and National Security	74-76
• Court Dispositions	77-79
• Arrests and Convictions	80
• Public Safety Concerns	81
• National Security Concerns	81
• Handling Procedures	82-84
H. Adjudicating Form I-821D, Part 3, Criminal, National Security, and Public Safety Information	85-87
I. Fraud Review and Fraud Referrals	88-91
9: Decisions	
A. Request for Evidence	92
B. Notice of Intent to Deny	93
C. Approvals	94-95
D. Denials	96-99
• Supervisory Review	96
10: Post Denial Process	100
11: Returned Mail	101

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

2

Table of Contents

Chapter	Page #
12: Employment Authorization	
A. General Information	102-103
B. Adjudication	104-109
C. Replacement Cards	110
13: Customer Service - Use of Service Request Management Tool (SRMT) to Respond to Requests to Review Certain Denials	111-121
14: DACA Termination	122-124
15: Processing Form I-131, Application for Travel Document, for Individuals With Approved Form I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA)	125-127

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

Appendices

- Appendix A:** June 15, 2012, Secretary of Homeland Security memorandum entitled, Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children.
- Appendix B:** November 7, 2011, memorandum entitled, Revised Guidance for the Referral of Cases and Issuance of Notices to Appear (NTAs) in Cases Involving Inadmissible and Removable Aliens.
- Appendix C:** Overview of the Background Check Process
- Appendix D:** DACA RFE Call Ups
- Appendix E:** Notice of Intent to Deny -- Not a Brief, Casual, or Innocent Departure Under Voluntary Departure, or Final Exclusion, Deportation, or Removal Order
- Appendix F:** DACA Denial Template
- Appendix G:** SRMT Responses
- Appendix H:** SRMT Denial Template
- Appendix I:** Notice of Intent to Terminate Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals and Termination Notice

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

Chapter 1: Definitions and Applicability to DACA

Absconder	An alien who failed to surrender to DHS for removal after receiving a final order of deportation, exclusion, or removal.
Aggravated Felon	Any alien who has been convicted of a criminal offense within the definition of 101(a)(43) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (Act).
Alias	An additional name (e.g., nickname, maiden name, or married name) or an assumed name.
Ancillary Application	Applications for travel, employment authorization, or applications that do not convey an immigrant or nonimmigrant status, and are filed in connection with a primary or an underlying application or petition.
ASC	Application Support Center. The ASCs, which are located throughout the United States and its outlying territories, facilitate the capture of fingerprints and biometric data.
BCU	Background Check Unit. A work unit located at each of the Service Centers and the National Benefits Center. The BCU is responsible for reviewing and resolving (b) (7)(E) hits and other criminal, national security, and public safety concerns in accordance with Agency policy.
BCU DACA Team	A specialized team within the BCU that specifically reviews and adjudicates issues of criminality arising from DACA requests. The team may consist of Immigration Services Officers, as well as officers assigned to CARRP, NTA issuance, and Triage duties, and the analysts who support them.

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

5

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

Brief, Casual, and Innocent Absence

A brief, casual, and innocent absence from the United States before August 15, 2012 will not interrupt continuous residence for purposes of DACA. An absence will be considered brief, casual, and innocent, if:

- (1) The absence was short and reasonably calculated to accomplish the purpose of the absence;
- (2) The absence was not the result of an order of exclusion, deportation, or removal;
- (3) The absence was not because of an order of voluntary departure, or an administrative grant of voluntary departure before the requestor was placed in exclusion, deportation, or removal proceedings; and
- (4) The purpose of the absence from the United States or actions while outside of the United States were not contrary to law.

This definition of a brief, casual, and innocent absence has its basis in case law and was codified into the regulations for the Temporary Protected Status (TPS) program. Elements of this definition of brief, casual, and innocent will be used for individuals requesting DACA. See 8 C.F.R. §244.1. See also "Continuous Residence" below for additional circumstances that will not break continuous residence.

CFDO

The Center Fraud Detection Operations (CFDO) is the Fraud Detection and National Security (FDNS) organization within Service Centers. The CFDO is comprised of FDNS officers under the direction of an FDNS supervisor who reports directly to the CFDO Assistant Center Director (ACD). While most CFDO work occurs in an office environment, some Service Centers conduct administrative investigations in support of FDNS's field operations.

CLAIMS (C3)

Computer - Linked Application Information Management System Version 3. A case management application system to track and process the adjudication of applications, petitions, and other requests for immigration benefits and services.

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

6

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

Continuous Residence	The DACA requestor is to reside in the United States for the entire period specified in the guidelines for DACA to be considered. An alien shall not be considered to have failed to maintain continuous residence in the United States by reason of a brief, casual, and innocent absence as defined within this section.
CARRP	Controlled Application Review and Resolution Program. This program outlines the process to identify, record, and adjudicate applications/petitions/requests where a National Security concern is identified.
DACA	Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals
Deferred Action	Deferred action is a discretionary determination to defer removal action of an individual as an act of prosecutorial discretion. Deferred action does not confer any lawful status.
DNR	Does Not Relate. A determination by USCIS personnel of whether a security check result relates to a DACA requestor.
Egregious Public Safety (EPS) Concern	Any case where routine systems and background checks indicate that an individual is under investigation for, has been arrested for (without disposition), or has been convicted of, a specified crime, including but not limited to, murder, rape, sexual abuse of a minor, trafficking in firearms or explosives, or other crimes listed in the November 7, 2011, memorandum entitled <u>Revised Guidance for the Referral of Cases and Issuance of Notices to Appear (NTAs) in Cases Involving Inadmissible and Removable Aliens.</u>

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

7

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

Evidence

Affidavits

Affidavits generally will not be sufficient on their own to demonstrate that a requestor meets the DACA guidelines. However, affidavits may be used to support the following guidelines when primary and secondary evidence are unavailable:

- A gap in the documentation demonstrating that the requestor meets the five year continuous residence requirement; and
- A shortcoming in documentation with respect to the brief, casual and innocent departures during the five years of required continuous presence.

Two or more affidavits, sworn to or affirmed by people other than the requestor, who have direct personal knowledge of the events and circumstances, can be submitted. If the affidavits are not sufficient to establish that the guideline is met, issue an RFE using RFE DACA 302 call up in Appendix D.

USCIS will not accept affidavits as proof of satisfying the following guidelines:

- The requestor is currently in school, has graduated or obtained a certificate of completion from high school, has obtained a general education development certificate, or is an honorably discharged veteran from the Coast Guard or Armed Forces of the United States;
- The requestor was physically present in the United States on June 15, 2012;
- The requestor came to the United States before reaching his/her 16th birthday;
- The requestor was under the age of 31 on June 15, 2012; and
- The requestor's criminal history, if applicable.

Weigh the assertions in the affidavit in light of the totality of all the evidence presented. When evaluating what weight to give an affidavit, take the following into consideration:

- An affidavit needs to be signed and dated;
- The identity of the affiant needs to be readily ascertainable from the information in the affidavit;
- The affidavit should state the relationship between the affiant and the DACA requestor and contain facts that are relevant to the guideline the requestor seeks to meet;
- The affidavit should state the basis of the affiant's knowledge and exhibit first-hand knowledge of the fact asserted.

Continued on next page

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

Evidence
(Continued)

Preponderance of the Evidence

A DACA requestor is to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that he/she meets the guidelines for the exercise of prosecutorial discretion in the form of deferred action. Under this standard, the requestor must demonstrate that it is more likely than not that he or she meets those guidelines. The preponderance of the evidence standard is a lower standard of proof than both the "clear and convincing evidence" standard and the "beyond a reasonable doubt" standard applicable to criminal cases.

Primary Evidence

Primary evidence is evidence which, on its face, proves a fact. In the DACA context, an example of primary evidence that could be submitted to satisfy the age guideline would be a birth certificate. An example of primary evidence that could be submitted to satisfy all or part of the continuous residence guideline would be rental agreements or school records in the DACA requestor's name.

Secondary Evidence

Secondary evidence must lead the officer to conclude that it is more likely than not (in other words, probable) that the fact sought to be proven is true. For example, if an individual is unable to obtain a copy of his birth certificate to establish his date of birth, baptismal records issued by a church showing that an individual was born at a certain time would be acceptable secondary evidence of the birth for purposes of satisfying the DACA age guideline. Similarly, to satisfy the continuous residence guideline under DACA, rental agreements in the name of the DACA requestor's parent could be acceptable secondary evidence demonstrating periods of the requestor's residence in the United States, if corroborating evidence in the file (for example, school or medical records) points to the DACA requestor's residence at that address.

Sufficiency of the Evidence

The sufficiency of all evidence is judged according to its relevance, consistency, and credibility.

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

9

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

Evidence
(Continued)

Totality of the Evidence

For DACA, the totality of the documentary evidence should be reviewed to determine whether the facts needed to establish a specific guideline have been demonstrated. In many instances, an adjudicator may be satisfied based upon the review of all the documentary evidence, that it is more likely than not that a specific guideline has been met even if the record does not contain one specific document that, in fact, satisfies the guideline. For example, if a DACA requestor is unable to submit a specific document evidencing his/her presence in the United States on June 15, 2012, he/she may be able to satisfy this guideline by submitting various forms of credible documentation evidencing that he/she was present in the United States shortly before and shortly after June 15, 2012 from which the officer could infer, based on the totality of the evidence, that the individual was present in the United States on June 15, 2012. (Note: evidence upon which one may infer that a fact has been demonstrated is also known as "circumstantial evidence," a term that appears in many DACA public information documents).

Officers must see documentary evidence (either primary or secondary) in order to determine if the following guidelines have been met:

- Requestor was under the age of 31 on June 15, 2012; and
- Requestor is currently in school, has graduated or obtained a certificate of completion from high school, has obtained a general education development certificate, or is an honorably discharged veteran of the Coast Guard or Armed Forces of the United States

Officers may not infer from other sources that either of these two guidelines have been met.

Officers should look to the totality of the evidence (meaning that facts can be inferred from one or more sources) to determine if the following guidelines have been met:

- The requestor was physically present in the United States on June 15, 2012;
- The requestor came to the United States before reaching his/her 16th birthday;
- The requestor satisfies the continuous residence requirement, (as long as he or she presented clear documentation of continuous residence in the United States for a portion of the required five-year period and any other evidence submitted supports a finding that the requestor was actually residing in the U.S. during the period for which he has not provided clear documentary evidence of such residence); and
- Any travel outside the United States during the five years of required continuous presence was brief, casual, and innocent.

Continued on next page

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

Evidence (Continued)	For the remaining guidelines, i.e., the requestor was in unlawful status on June 15, 2012, has no disqualifying criminal convictions, and does not otherwise pose a threat to public safety or national security, the information presented by the DACA requestor on his/her Form I-821D in combination with background and security checks, routine systems checks, supporting evidence submitted by the requestor, and any other information on file, may establish that these guidelines have been met.
Front End Check	Security and systems checks performed upon the receipt of an application or petition or other requests to screen for national security, EPS, fraud, or other criminal concerns.
HQ FDNS	Headquarters Office of the Fraud Detection and National Security Directorate of USCIS.
Hit	A record returned by a security or background check system in response to a query that may relate to the subject being queried.
Interpol	International Criminal Police Organization, the world's largest international police organization. This organization facilitates cross-border police cooperation and supports and assists all organizations, authorities, and services whose mission is to prevent or combat international crime.
JTTF	Joint Terrorism Task Force. The JTTF is run by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). The JTTF is comprised of small groups of highly trained, locally based members from U.S. law enforcement and intelligence agencies. JTTF is responsible for all domestic and international terrorism matters.

Continued on next page

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

KST

Known or Suspected Terrorist.

(b) (7)(E)

(b) (7)(E)

National
Security
(NS)
Concern

An NS Concern exists when an individual or organization has been determined to have an articulable link to prior, current, or planned involvement in, or association with, an activity, individual, or organization described in §§ 212(a)(3)(A), (B), or (F), or 237(a)(4)(A) or (B) of the Act. This determination requires that the case be handled according to the CARRP policy outlined in the memorandum issued on April 11, 2008.

(b) (7)(E)

NCTC

National Counterterrorism Center. In August 2004, the President established NCTC, a multi-agency organization, to serve as the primary organization for the U.S. Government for integrating and analyzing all intelligence pertaining to terrorism and counterterrorism (CT) and to conduct strategic operational planning by integrating all instruments of national power.

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Separable but Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

12

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

No Match	This annotation is used on the (b) (7)(E) if a (b) (7)(E) query results in no (b) (7)(E) hit.
Non-KST	A Non-KST NS concern includes all other NS concerns, regardless of the source, including but not limited to: associates of KSTs, unindicted coconspirators, terrorist organization members, persons involved with providing material support to terrorists or terrorist organizations, and agents of foreign governments.
Primary Name and DOB	The name and date of birth provided by an applicant, petitioner, or requestor as his/her given name and date of birth. This is generally listed in the first part of the application/petition/request.
Relates	This annotation is used on the ROIQ if a (b) (7)(E) query results in a hit that closely corresponds to the subject queried.
Resolution	A determination of the effect or relevance of the available information on the eligibility of the applicant, requestor, petitioner, beneficiary, or derivative for the benefit or request sought.
ROIQ	Record of IBIS Query. This form is used to record the search criteria queried and the results of those queries.
SNAP	Scheduling and Notification of Applicants For Processing. An automated system that schedules appointments for individuals to submit biometric information to ASCs.
Secretary's Memorandum	The June 15, 2012, memorandum entitled, Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children, issued by the Secretary of Homeland Security.

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO)-LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with OIG policy relating to sensitive but unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

Definitions and Applicability to DACA, Continued

Security Check	A specific check or a combination of checks required for each application, petition, or request conducted in accordance with Agency policy.
System Match	A record returned by (b) (7)(E) in response to a query, the subject of which may or may not relate to the subject being queried. This is the same as (b) (7)(E) Hit.
TECS	TECS is formerly known as the Treasury Enforcement Communications System/Interagency Border Inspection System. TECS is an automated enforcement and inspection lookout system that combines information from multiple agencies, databases, and system interfaces to compile data relating to national security risks, public safety issues, current or past targets of investigations, and other law enforcement concerns. The system is maintained by U.S. Customs and Border Protection.
VGTOF	Violent Gang and Terrorist Organization File. The VGTOF file has been designed to provide identifying information about violent criminal gangs and terrorist organizations and members of those gangs and organizations to law enforcement personnel. This information serves to warn law enforcement officers of the potential danger posed by violent individuals and to promote the exchange of information about these organizations and members to facilitate criminal investigations. USCIS has access to VGTOF through NCIC.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO)-LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

Chapter 2: Introduction

Purpose	This SOP describes the procedures Service Centers are to follow when adjudicating DACA requests. This SOP includes the procedures for processing Form I-821D, Consideration of Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, and Form I-765, Application for Employment Authorization. It also describes the procedures for adjudicating advance parole requests for individuals whose removal has been deferred under DACA and who need to travel outside of the United States for educational, employment, or humanitarian purposes.
References	<p>For DACA: Memorandum issued June 15, 2012, <u>Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children</u>, by Secretary of Homeland Security Janet Napolitano to U.S. Customs and Border Protection; U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services; and Immigration and Customs Enforcement. <u>See Appendix A for a copy of the Secretary's memorandum.</u></p> <p>For Employment Authorization: 8 C.F.R. § 274a.12(c)(14) is the legal authority for employment authorization based on a grant of deferred action. The (c)(33) code will be used to distinguish EAD grants under DACA from EAD grants under other forms of deferred action. <u>See also the Secretary's memorandum</u>, which provides that USCIS shall accept applications to determine whether individuals whose removal has been deferred under DACA qualify for work authorization during the period of deferred action.</p>
Fraud Cases	All officers are required to review cases for the possibility of fraud. All officers should review the case based on the standard fraud referral protocols and the additional guidance provided in Chapter 8, Section K of this SOP.
Applicability	This SOP is applicable to all Service Center personnel performing adjudicative and clerical functions or review of those functions. Personnel outside of Service Centers performing duties related to DACA processing will be similarly bound by the provisions of this SOP.

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

15

(b) (5)

Introduction, Continued

Conflict Resolution

Any provision of the Act or 8 C.F.R. found by Headquarters Service Center Operations Directorate (SCOPS) to be in conflict with this SOP will take precedence over the SOP; any individual who identifies such an apparent conflict will report the matter immediately to the DACA SISO POC, who will in turn report the conflict to SCOPS.

If any apparent conflict is noted between this SOP and policy or guidance documents, the matter should be reported to SCOPS through the supervisory chain of command.

Revisions

SCOPS will issue numbered revisions to this SOP. No other document will be considered a valid modification.

Version Control

All personnel who maintain a hard copy of the SOP will ensure that it is the latest version. An electronic copy of the latest version will be posted per local procedures. The training unit will archive all prior electronic versions of this SOP.

Additional Resources

For additional resources on DACA, please see a supervisor or training coordinator for DACA training presentations and modules.

Continued on next page

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (FOUO) - LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES)
This document is FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY. It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552). This document is to be controlled, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to Sensitive But Unclassified (SBU) information, and is not to be released to the public or other personnel who do not have a valid "need-to-know" basis without prior approval from the originator.

Introduction, Continued

DACA Overview

On June 15, 2012, the Secretary of Homeland Security issued a memorandum entitled, Exercising Prosecutorial Discretion with Respect to Individuals Who Came to the United States as Children. In this memorandum, the Secretary provides guidelines for exercising prosecutorial discretion on a case -by-case basis to defer removal action of individuals who were brought to the United States as children. By issuing this memorandum, the Secretary recognized that, as a general matter, these individuals lacked the requisite intent to violate the law when they entered the United States as children. Therefore, the Secretary determined that additional measures are necessary to ensure that enforcement resources are not expended on these low priority cases, but rather, on those who meet DHS's enforcement priorities.

Childhood Arrival

For purposes of considering an individual for DACA under the Secretary's memorandum, an individual may be favorably considered for DACA if he/she:

1. Entered without inspection before June 15, 2012, and had no lawful status as of that date, or if admitted or paroled, his/her lawful immigration status expired as of June 15, 2012;
2. Was under the age of 31 as of June 15, 2012;
3. Came to the United States prior to reaching his/her 16th birthday;
4. Has continuously resided in the United States since June 15, 2007, up to the date of filing;
5. Was present in the United States on June 15, 2012, and at the time of making his/her request for consideration of deferred action with USCIS;
6. Is currently in school, has graduated or obtained a certificate of completion from high school, has obtained a GED certificate, or is an honorably discharged veteran of the U.S. Coast Guard or U.S. Armed Forces; and
7. Has not been convicted of a felony, a significant misdemeanor, or three or more misdemeanors, and does not otherwise pose a threat to national security or public safety.

Continued on next page