

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

FLOWBEE INTERNATIONAL, INC.  
and FLOWBEE HAIRCUTTER  
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

Civil Action No. C-09-199

---

**DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A REPLY BRIEF  
TO ITS PENDING MOTION TO DISMISS**

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS:

COMES NOW Defendant Google Inc. ("Google") files this Motion for Leave to File a  
Reply Brief to its pending Motion to Dismiss and would show as follows:

1. On September 14, 2009, Google Inc. filed its Motion to Dismiss.
2. The Court raised the issue of Google's Motion to Dismiss at the Case Management Conference on September 23, 2009. When Plaintiffs' attorney stated that Plaintiffs intended to respond to Google's motion, the Court stated that Google would have a chance to respond to Plaintiffs' response. [Declaration of Christopher V. Goodpastor, dated October 2, 2009, ¶ 3, Ex. B 4:5-25.](#)
3. On October 2, 2009, Plaintiffs Flowbee International, Inc. and Flowbee Haircutter Limited Partnership ("Plaintiffs") filed their Response to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. Plaintiffs' Response raises new factual and legal issues not addressed by Google's initial motion.

To clarify its position and provide the Court with its response to these additional legal and factual issues, Google respectfully requests leave to file its Reply Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss, which is attached hereto as Exhibit “A.” This relief is not sought for purposes of delay, but rather so justice may be done. Good cause exists to allow such leave.

WHEREFORE Google Inc. respectfully seeks leave to file the attached Reply Brief to its Motion to Dismiss and for any additional relief at law or in equity to which it is so entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Margret M. Caruso  
MARGRET M. CARUSO (*admitted pro hac vice*)  
ATTORNEY-IN-CHARGE  
California State Bar No. 243473  
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart Oliver & Hedges, LLP  
555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560  
Redwood Shores, California 94065  
(650) 801-5101  
(650) 801-5100 – Fax  
Email: margretcaruso@quinnemanuel.com

CHARLES L. “CHIP” BABCOCK  
LEAD LOCAL COUNSEL  
Texas State Bar No. 01479500  
Federal Bar No. 10982  
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.  
1401 McKinney, Suite 1900  
Houston, TX 77010-4008  
(713) 752-4210  
(713) 308-4110 - Fax  
Email: cbabcock@jw.com

CARL C. BUTZER  
CO-LOCAL COUNSEL  
Texas State Bar No. 03545900  
Federal Bar No. 16376  
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.  
901 Main Street, Suite 6000  
Dallas, Texas 75202  
(214) 953-5902

(214) 661-6609 - Fax  
Email: cbutzer@jw.com  
ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.

**CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE**

I hereby certify that on October 6, 2009, counsel for Movant Google Inc. called and emailed counsel for Respondents Flowbee International, Inc. and Flowbee Haircutter Limited Partnership about the Motion. As of the time this motion was filed, Defendant has received no response as to whether Plaintiffs oppose the Motion. Defendant will file an amended certificate of conference as soon as Defendant's counsel is made aware as to whether or not Plaintiffs oppose the Motion.

s/ Margret M. Caruso  
Margret M. Caruso

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 6, 2009, I electronically submitted the foregoing document with the clerk of the court for the U.S. District Court, Southern District of Texas, using the electronic case files system of the court. The electronic case files system sent a “Notice of Electronic Filing” to individuals who have consented in writing to accept this Notice as service of this document by electronic means. All other counsel of record not deemed to have consented to electronic service were served with a true and correct copy of the foregoing by first class mail today, October 6, 2009.

s/ Margret M. Caruso  
Margret M. Caruso