Huff v. Stephens Doc. 13

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

December 03, 2015 David J. Bradley, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

RICHARD HUFF,	§
Datitionar	§
Petitioner,	8
VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-CV-00220
WILLIAM STEPHENS,	§
	§
	§
Respondent.	§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION

On October 29, 2015, United States Magistrate Judge B. Janice Ellington issued her "Memorandum and Recommendation" (D.E. 12), recommending that this action be dismissed as time-barred. The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation. FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation (D.E. 12), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the Respondent's Motion

for Summary Judgment (D.E. 8) is **GRANTED** and this action is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. In the event that Petitioner requests a Certificate of Appealability, that request is **DENIED**.

ORDERED this 3rd day of December, 2015.

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE