IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION
JOE YOUNG,
Plaintiff,
VS.
CANDACE MOORE, et al,
Defendants.

| JOE YOUNG, | $\S$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\S$ |  |
| Plaintiff, | $\S$ |  |
| VS. | $\S$ |  |
| CANDACE MOORE, et $a l$, | $\S$ | CIVIL NO. 2:16-CV-00392 |
|  | $\S$ |  |
| Defendants. | $\S$ |  |
|  | $\S$ |  |
|  | $\S$ |  |

## ENTERED

July 16, 2018
David J. Bradley, Clerk

## ORDER

Before the Court is the May 21, 2018, Memorandum and Recommendation ("M\&R") of the Magistrate Judge to whom this case was referred, Dkt. No. 42, and Plaintiff's July 6, 2018, objection to the M\&R, Dkt. No. 51.

The Court reviews objected-to portions of the Magistrate Judge's proposed findings and recommendations de novo. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Plaintiff's objection is frivolous, conclusory, general, or contains no arguments that the M\&R has not already considered. See Dkt. Nos. 42, 51; Battle v. United States Parole Comm'n, 834 F.2d 419 (5th Cir. 1987) (determining that a district court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections).

After independently reviewing the record and considering the applicable law, the Court ADOPTS the M\&R in its entirety, Dkt. No. 42, and OVERRULES Plaintiff's objection, Dkt. No. 51. The Court hereby:

- GRANTS Defendants Candace Moore, Corey Furr, and Janet Salles's motion to dismiss, Dkt. No. 30;
- DISMISSES Defendant Jennifer Smith from this action; and
- DISMISSES WITH PREJUDICE Plaintiff's retaliation claim.

On March 1, 2018, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's other claims, Dkt. No. 34, and no claims remain. The Court will direct entry of final judgment separately.

SIGNED this 16th day of July, 2018.


> Senior United States District Judge

