Grant v. Menchaca Doc. 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

June 25, 2018 David J. Bradlev. Clerk

JERMAINE ANDRE GRANT,

Plaintiff,

VS.

RAFAEL MENCHACA,

Defendant.

\$

CIVIL NO. 2:18-CV-00018

\$

\$

\$

Defendant.

ORDER

Before the Court is the January 24, 2018, Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") of the Magistrate Judge to whom this case was referred, Dkt. No. 6, and Plaintiff's February 7, 2018, objection to the M&R, Dkt. No. 7.

The Court reviews objected-to portions of the Magistrate Judge's proposed findings and recommendations de novo. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Plaintiff's objection is frivolous, conclusory, general, or contains no arguments that the M&R has not already considered. *See* Dkt. Nos. 6, 7; *Battle v. United States Parole Comm'n*, 834 F.2d 419 (5th Cir. 1987) (determining that a district court need not consider frivolous, conclusive, or general objections).

After independently reviewing the record and considering the applicable law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety, Dkt. No. 6, and **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's objection, Dkt. No. 7. The Court hereby:

- **DISMISSES WITH PREJUDICE** this action,
- COUNTS this action as a "strike" for the purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), and
- **DIRECTS** the Clerk of the Court to send a copy of this Order to the Manager of the Three Strikes List of the Southern District of Texas at Three_Strikes@txs.uscourts.gov.

SIGNED this 25th day of June, 2018.

Hilda Tagle

Senior United States District Judge