Marshall v. Menchaca et al Doc. 28

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

October 25, 2018

David J. Bradley, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ROMARCUS DEON MARSHALL,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
VS.	§	CIVIL NO. 2:18-CV-44
	§	
RAFAEL MENCHACA, et al,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER

The Court has received Plaintiff's Amended Complaint, Dkt. No. 17; the magistrate judge's May 3, 2018 Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R), Dkt. No. 18; and Plaintiff's objections to the M&R, Dkt. No. 21. The M&R recommends that the Court dismiss with prejudice Plaintiff's action and count the dismissal as a "strike" for the purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Dkt. No. 18 at 1–2. On May 29, 2018, Plaintiff filed an objection to the M&R. Dkt. No. 21.

The Court reviews objected-to portions of the magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations de novo. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). After independently reviewing the filings, the record, and applicable law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R, Dkt. No. 18. Accordingly, the Court **DISMISSES WITH PREJUDICE** the above-captioned case for failure to state a claim and/or as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915(e)(2)(B) and 1915A(b)(1), and **DISMISSES WITHOUT PREJUDICE** Defendant Texas Department of Criminal Justice (TDCJ).

This dismissal counts as a "strike" for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The Court therefore **INSTRUCTS** the Clerk to send notice of this dismissal to the Manager of the Three Strikes List for the Southern District of Texas at Three_Strikes@txs.uscourts.gov. The Court will direct entry of final judgment separately.

SIGNED this 25th day of October, 2018.

Hilda Tagle

Senior United States District Judge