ENTERED March 27, 2020 David J. Bradley, Clerk

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

MICHAEL RAY WEST,	
Plaintiff,	
VS.	
SHARON RUIZ, et al,	
Defendants.	

CIVIL NO. 2:18-CV-170

<u>ORDER</u>

 \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ

The Court is in receipt of the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation to Grant Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and to Deny Plaintiff's Motion for an Injunction ("M&R"), Dkt. No. 64. The Court is also in receipt of Plaintiff Michael Ray West's ("West") Objections, Dkt. Nos. 67, 69.

The Court reviews objected-to portions of a Magistrate Judge's proposed findings and recommendations de novo. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). But if the objections are frivolous, conclusive or general in nature the court need not conduct a de novo review. *Battle v. United States Parole Comm'n*, 834 F.2d 419 (5th Cir. 1987). After review, the Court concludes West's objections are frivolous and conclusive and adequately addressed by the M&R. Dkt. No. 64. After independently reviewing the filings, the record, and applicable law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its entirety, Dkt. No. 64, and **OVERRULES** West's Objections, Dkt. Nos. 67, 69. The Court hereby **GRANTS** Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment, Dkt. No. 48, and **DISMISSES** West's deliberate indifference claims for failure to exhaust with prejudice. The Court **DENIES** West's motion for an injunction, Dkt. No. 60. Final judgment will enter separately.

SIGNED this 27th day of March, 2020.

Hilda Tagle O Senior United States District Judge

1 / 1