United States District Court Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

May 03, 2022 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JUSTIN T. GARCIA, et al.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
V.	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:21-CV-00169
	§	
CITY OF CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS, et	§	
al.,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

On February 14, 2022, Defendant City of Corpus Christi filed an opposed motion for protective order and confidentiality agreement, along with a proposed order. (Doc. Nos. 20, 21.) Plaintiffs responded in a filing on March 3, 2022. (Doc. No. 22.) The motion has been referred to the undersigned for review and action. The Court issued an order setting a status conference and directed the parties to consult regarding the language for any protective order. (Doc. No. 29.)

The hearing occurred on May 2, 2022. The Court heard the parties' positions regarding the existence of any privilege or protection for information collected and maintained pursuant to section 143.089(g) of the Texas Local Government Code. In accordance with the Court's instructions, the parties conferred prior to the hearing and coordinated on a proposed confidentiality and protective order, which was also discussed at the hearing. That jointly proposed confidentiality and protective order is now filed as part of the docket. (Doc. No. 30.) Discussion at the hearing addressed, among other 1/2

things, the use during depositions of information covered by any protective order, the possibility of overcoming confidentiality concerns through agreed-upon redactions, and the mechanism for challenging designation of confidential material or proposed use of such material in this litigation.

After consideration of the parties' written submissions and the discussion at the hearing, and for the reasons stated during the hearing, the Court GRANTS IN PART Defendant City of Corpus Christi's motion (Doc. No. 20). The Court is satisfied that the language crafted by the parties in their joint proposed order will adequately address the parties' needs during the discovery phase of this litigation. The Court expresses no opinion on the admissibility of any information or evidence. Any such questions will be addressed by the district court if they arise.

The Court adopts the draft order submitted by the parties, and is issuing a signed version as a standalone docket entry.

ORDERED on May 3, 2022.

MITCHEL NEUROCK

United States Magistrate Judge