

United States District Court
Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

November 18, 2022

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JULIAN GALVAN SILVAS, JR.,

§

Plaintiff,

§

V.

§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-cv-00070

§

DOCTOR VILLEREAL, *et al.*,

§

Defendants.

§

§

§

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION


Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Julie Hampton’s Memorandum and Recommendation (M&R). (D.E. 55). The M&R recommends that Plaintiff’s motions to reopen the case, construed as motions for reconsideration, (D.E. 53; D.E. 54), be denied. (D.E. 55).

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s M&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge’s M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam); *Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP*, No. CIV. A. H-14-2700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *1 (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015).

Having carefully reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court **ADOPTS** the M&R in its

entirety. (D.E. 55). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff's motions to reopen the case.
(D.E. 53; D.E. 54).

SO ORDERED.



DAVID S. MORALES
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: Corpus Christi, Texas
November 17th, 2022