Flores v. Madrigal et al Doc. 18

United States District Court Southern District of Texas

## **ENTERED**

May 09, 2024 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JOSE FELIPE FLORES,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00020

MELISSA MADRIGAL, et al.,

Defendants.

## ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS CASE FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

On April 11, 2024, United States Magistrate Judge Julie K. Hampton issued her "Memorandum and Recommendation to Dismiss Case for Failure to Prosecute" (D.E. 15). Plaintiff was provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. Plaintiff's mail was returned as undeliverable, consistent with prior notifications. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 15), and all other relevant 1/2

documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, this action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to prosecute.

ORDERED on May 9, 2024.

NELVA GONZALES RAMOS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE