

United States District Court
Southern District of Texas

ENTERED

March 05, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

ROSEMOM CALVIN RICK PILOT,

Plaintiff,

V.

21st MORTGAGE CORPORATION,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-MC-00017

ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION

Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Mitchel Neurock’s Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”). (D.E. 2). The M&R recommends that the Court deny Plaintiff’s application to proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. *Id.* at 1. No objections to the M&R have been filed.

When a party objects to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge, the district judge “shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). As to any portion for which no objection is filed, a district court reviews for clearly erroneous factual findings and conclusions of law. *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989) (per curiam). Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the M&R, the record, and the applicable law, the Court **ADOPTS** the findings and conclusions of the M&R, (D.E. 2). Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** Plaintiff’s motion to proceed *In Forma Pauperis*. (D.E. 1).

SO ORDERED.

DAVID S. MORALES
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Signed: Corpus Christi, Texas
March 5th, 2025