UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

KAREN McPETERS, individually, and on behalf of those individuals, persons and entities who are similarly situated plaintiff \$

vs. \$CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:10-CV-01103 \$

VS. \$JURY THE HONORABLE FREDERICK E. \$

EDWARDS; BARBARA GLADDEN \$

ADAMICK, DISTRICT CLERK; \$

MONTGOMERY COUNTY, TEXAS, and \$

REED ELSEVIER, INC. d/b/a LexisNexis \$

Defendants \$

PLAINTIFF'S HEARING BRIEF No. 2 -LECROY SHOWS COURT ACCESS IS A FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT

Plaintiff Karen McPeters submits the attached brief as the law and evidence in support of her request that Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) motions be denied.

Date: December 9, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

Robert L. Mays, Jr.

Attorney in Charge / TBN: 13308200

So. Dist. ID: 11606

8626 Tesoro Drive, Suite 820 San Antonio, Texas 78217

Phone: 210-657-7772 FAX: 210-657-7780

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I hand delivered a copy of this "Plaintiff's Hearing Brief No. 2 – *LeCroy* Shows Court Access is a Fundamental Right" on December 9, 2010 to each counsel for Defendant present at the hearing.

Robert L. Mays, Jr.

LeCroy v. Hanlon, 713 S.W.2d 335 (Tex. 1986)

<u>LeCroy Applied in McPeters III</u>

- 1. LeCroy is still good law. It has been applied by the Attorney General in invalidating similar fees and charges.
- 2. LeCroy invalidated fees reviewed and enacted by the Legislature and collected by the State. In McPeters III we are dealing with charges reviewed by no one and collected by a foreign corporation.
- 3. LeCroy invalidated fees that did not go to the judiciary. In McPeters III we are dealing with fees that do not go to the judiciary.
- 4. Lecroy invalidated a one-time fee of \$50. In McPeters III we are dealing with an unlimited and unreviewed series of charges.
- 5. LeCroy recognized the legitimacy of a district clerk's charges for support services. In McPeters III we are dealing with filing fees for those services and numerous charges for every transaction thereafter.
- 6. The "balancing test" is illusory in this case since we are not dealing with a legislative enactment. If we agree that we are dealing with the equivalent of a legislative enactment, then separation of powers is implicated. In any event, the burden is on the government to demonstrate that the unreviewed charges levied and collected by a foreign corporation have a "legislative purpose" that outweighs the substantial rights affected by the charges.

Since the fee invalidated in *LeCroy* was far more valuable to the State than the charges collected by Reed Elsevier, it appears that the defendants in McPeters III have an impossible burden.

Facts

In 1985 the Legislature increased the district court filing fee by \$50, with \$10 going to the district clerk and \$40 going to the state general revenue fund. In this case the \$217.00 filing fee in Montgomery County in Case No. 07-09-09142 has been overwhelmed by the LexisNexis charges to-date of \$414.17. The blank invoice granted to LexisNexis still exists.

Issue

Whether the fee increase violates the open courts provision in the Texas Constitution, Art. I, Sec. 13?

Holding

"We hold that filing fees that go to state general revenues-in other words taxes on the right to litigate that pay for other programs besides the judiciary-are unreasonable impositions on the state constitutional right of access to the courts. Regardless of its size, such a filing fee is unconstitutional for filing fees cannot go for non-court-related purposes."

"In summary, we hold that the open courts provision invalidates the \$40 filing fee increase for state general revenues and that the caption defect voids the entire \$50 filing fee increase in sections 31 and 32 of H.B. 1593. We affirm the district court's judgment."

Reasoning

Fees and costs are usually constitutional.

Filing fees and court costs are usually constitutional. Charging litigants that are able to pay a reasonable fee for judicial support services does not violate the open courts provision. See Farabee v. Board of Trustees, Lee County Law Library, 254 So.2d at 5; State v. Young, 238 So.2d 589, 590 (Fla.1970); *343 Crocker v. Finley, 77 Ill.Dec. at 101-02, 459 N.E.2d at 1350-51; Ali v. Danaher, 47 Ill.2d 231, 265 N.E.2d 103, 106 (1970). Such fees interfere somewhat with access to the courts, but they are permitted because they go for court-related purposes.

Open courts guarantee is a substantial right in Texas

The open courts provision specifically guarantees all litigants the right to redress their grievances-to use a popular and correct phrase, the right to their day in court. <u>Nelson v. Krusen, 678 S.W.2d at 923; Sax v. Votteler, 648 S.W.2d at 665; Hanks v. City of Port Arthur, 121 Tex. 202, 48 S.W.2d 944, 947 (1932). This right is a substantial state constitutional right. <u>Nelson v. Krusen, 678 S.W.2d at 921.</u> Because a substantial right is involved, the legislature cannot arbitrarily or unreasonably interfere with a litigant's right of access to the courts. <u>Neagle v. Nelson, 685 S.W.2d 11, 12 (Tex.1985)...</u></u>

The Balancing Test and Conclusion

Thus, the general open courts provision test balances the legislature's actual purpose in enacting a law against that law's interference with the individual's right of access to the courts. Sax v. Votteler, 648 S.W.2d at 665, 666. The government has the burden to show that the legislative purpose outweighs the interference with the individual's right of access. *Id.* at 666

The question here is whether a filing fee that goes to state general revenues is an arbitrary and unreasonable interference with the right of access to the court.

The major defect with the filing fee is that it is a general revenue tax on the right to litigate: the money goes to other statewide programs besides the judiciary. FN9 Nearly all states with similar open courts provisions have held that filing fees that go to fund general welfare

programs, and not court-related services, are unconstitutional. *Crocker v. Finley*, 99 III.2d 444, 77 III.Dec. 97, 459 N.E.2d 1346 (1984); *Farabee v. Board of Trustees, Lee County Law Library*, 254 So.2d 1 (FLa.1971). See also *Flood v. State*, 95 Fla. 1003, 117 So. 385 (1928); *Cook County v. Fairbank*, 78 N.E. 895 (III.1906); *Hayes v. C.C. & H. Min. & Mill Co.*, 126 S.W. 1051 (Mo.1910). *Cf. G.B.B. Investments, Inc. v. Hinterkopf*, 343 So.2d 899, 901 (Fla.Dist.Ct.App.-3rd 1977); *State v. Gorman*, 41 N.W. 948, 949 (Minn.1889).

Thirty-seven states, plus Texas, have in addition to their traditional due process clauses open courts provisions to specifically guarantee the right of access to the courts.

Barbara Gladden Adamick, District Clerk Montgomery County

Civil Filing Fees

Effective October 18, 2010

I. Standard	d Fees Due At Ti	MINING AND PROPERTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	w Suit	
District Clerk filing fee (A)	51.317(b) (GC)	01.01.04	1-10 Plain	tiffs \$50.00
	75.00	(A-2)	26-100 Pla	
TO CONTRACT TO CONTRACT S	25.00	(A-4)	501-1000 Plaint	(U00100000) (P) (U0010000000000000000000000000000000000
[10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10] [10]	175.00		selow for -Total I	
(A-3) More than 1000 Plaintiffs	175.00	See 11. B	selow for - rotal i	ning rees
Judicial Fund	Sec. 133,151 (LGC	01.01.04		50.00
Indigent Legal Fee	Sec 133.152 (LG	C) 01.01.04		10.00
Judiciary Fee (JSF)	Sec 133.154 (LG	(C) 01.01.08	(increase of \$5.00)	42.00
Law Library	Sec 323,0231 (L	.GC) 09.01.03	(Tax Suits Not Inc.)	35.00
Dispute Resolution Fund	152.004 (CPR)	09.01.05	(ADD. \$5.00)	15.00
Records Man & Pres Fund	51.317(b) (GC)	01.01.04		10.00
Security Service Fee	291,008 (LGC)	09.01.95		5.00
Court Reporters Serv. Fee	51.601(GC)	08.28.95		15.00
Appellate Judicial Fee (AJF)	Sec. 22,2101 (GC	01.01.08		5.00
Digital Preservation Fee	51.708 (GC)	01.01.10		10.00
*Tech Preservation Fee	51,305	10.18.10		5.00
II. <u>Total Filing Fee</u>	for New Civil S	Suit W/O Servi	ce:(See List Bel	ow)
(A)=\$252.00 (A-1)=\$277.00	(A-2)=\$302.00	(A-3)=\$327.00	(A-4)=\$352.00	(A-5)=\$377.00
Bill of review				252.00
Civil Transfer into Montgomery	Co.			252.00
Commission for Disposition or L	etter Rogatory (add \$8.00 for ea.	Subpoena)	252.00
Expunction of Records	(add <u>\$</u>	20.00 for ea. age	ency notice)	252.00
Petition for Order of Non Disc	closure_ (411.081(d)-	(h) (GC) 09.01.03 (25	52.00+ \$28.00)	280.00
III. Additional Filing Fees in Civil Suits:				
·Intervention ·Interpleader ·Cı ·Motion to Transfer · Motion f			Party Action	
(This includes \$10.00 State Bar I	ndigent Fee)-(133.15	52 LGC) -	01.01.04	
(This includes \$10.00 State Bar I (This includes \$10.00 Records Ma (This includes \$5.00 Tech Prese	n & Pres Fee)-(51.31	33) (EP)	01.01.04 10.18.10	40.00
	ellaneous Fees:			
·Abstract of Judgment	and the state of t			8.00
·Bill of Costs				10.00
·Bond Approval				4.00
·Certified Mail Service	NOS NOS NOS			65.00
·Copies: Certified/Non-Certified (1.00
·Copies: Exemplified Copy / Cert.				2.00
·Citation by serving Comm of Insur			etition)	12.00
 Citation by serving Sec of State (Citations ·Non-Resident Citation 	and the second of the second o	Control of the Contro		12.00 8.00
Jury Fee	· Citations by Post	ing/Publications		30.00
·Order of Sale				8.00
·Notices ·Precept ·Subpoena				8.00
·Record Search (to ascertain exist	tence of an instrum	ent, record, or d	ocket number)	5.00
·Temporary Restraining Order · In		- Control of the Cont	•	8.00
·Writs: (Execution Possession, Attachme	nt, Habeas Corpus, Garn	ishment, Injunction, S	Sequestration, Turnover	8.00

^{*} Revised & Effective Oct 18, 2010 w/Commissioners court approved Tech Fee *

Effective Date: 10/08/2006

LexisNexis[®] File & Serve

PRICING SHEET

TEXAS—JEFFERSON AND MONTGOMERY COUNTY DISTRICT COURTS

Unless noted specifically below, all fees are subject to current list prices as reflected in the E-file Pricing and Optional Services pricing sheets posted online under the File & Serve Resource Center.

FILING DOCUMENTS WITH THE COUR	Ţ
--------------------------------	---

Filing into a single case	The state of the s
Complex Litigation Mass Tort	\$11.00 per transaction \$9.00 per transaction
General Civil	\$7.00 per transaction
Multiple-case filing	Filing Fee from above, plus \$2.00 for each subsequent case

SERVICE TO CASE PARTIES

Online delivery*	
Complex Litigation Mass Tort General Civil	\$13.00 per transaction \$13.00 per transaction \$8.00 per transaction
	See Optional Services pricing sheet for offline service fees and other pricing.

DELIVERY OF DOCUMENTS TO ADDITIONAL RECIPIENTS		
Online delivery*	No charge when combined with online service—otherwise:	
Complex Litigation Mass Tort General Civil	\$13.00 per transaction \$13.00 per transaction \$8.00 per transaction	
Email delivery**	No charge when combined with online service—otherwise:	
Complex Litigation Mass Tort General Civil	\$13.00 per transaction \$13.00 per transaction \$8.00 per transaction	

To File & Serve Advanced users

See Optional Service Price Sheet for additional pricing information for optional features and services

Prices do not include court fees, and are subject to change.

To File & Serve Basic users, and to non-subscribers

	Effective Date: 08/25/2010
LexisNexis [®] File & Serve: OPTIONAL	SERVICES PRICE SHEET
व्यासन्तर्भातः । संदर्भवात्वः संस्थातमञ्जनः (द्वाद्यसन्दर्भः)	
U.S. Mail delivery to case parties (via 1st Class mail)	\$8.00 per transaction—plus \$0.30 per page, per firm and applicable postage and delivery fees (see table below).
Fax service delivery	\$8.00 per transaction—plus \$0.50 per page, per firm
U.S. Mail Cancellation Fee	2% of total fee, minimum \$250, plus costs for any U.S. Mail deliveries that cannot be stopped in time
ाकिर्वास्त्राहरू स्वराम् व्यवस्थानम् ।	
Number of pages: 1-4 5-10 11-17 18-19 20-25 26-31 32-37 38-43 44-49 50-54 55-60 61-66 67-72 73-89 90-183 184-276 277-369 370-483	\$3.85 \$4.73 \$5.46 \$6.28 \$7.02 \$7.70 \$8.55 \$9.32 \$10.08 \$10.85 \$11.81 \$12.38 \$13.44 \$21.60 \$36.05 \$50.16
Online delivery	No charge when combined with online service
Email delivery	No charge when combined with online service
Fax delivery	\$6.00 per transaction plus \$0,50 per page, per firm
Via Courier to a Court (where available)	\$25.00 per transaction +\$.10 per page
Courtesy Copy to a court via 1 st class U.S. Mail	\$5.00 per transaction—plus \$0.30 per page and applicable postage and delivery fees
come into neto-ditable dissipation.	
In all courts unless otherwise noted below or as listed on a court-specific price sheet:	
Maryland-Baltimero, Prince George's Filings in My Cases Filings in My Firms Cases Filings in All Cases All Other Courts or Projects	No Charge No Charge \$5,00 Per Search

Page 1 of 3

Filings in My Cases	No Chama	
Filings in My Firms Cases	No Charge No Charge	
Filings in Ali Cases	\$10.00 Par Search	
A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH		
weight the Version Valle that the first		
In all courts unless otherwise noted below or as listed on a court-specific price sheet:		
contrabonite hitto straot		
Maryland-Baltimore, Prince George's		
Filings in My Cases	No Charge	
Filings in My Firms Cases	No Charge	
Filings in All Cases	\$5.00 Per Report	
All Other Courts or Projects		
Filings in My Cases	No Charge	
Filings in My Firms Cases	No Charge	
Filings in All Cases	\$10.00 Per Search	
		` •
Afoliget Burger		
in all courts unless otherwise listed on a court-specific price		
sheot:		
Degramanta assaud to consultan	l	
Documents served by your firm Documents served to your firm	No Charge	
All either documents	No Charge \$6.00 per document	
	- acros has accountant	
	•	
Transcripts	No. of the second secon	
Delaware Superior Courts Delaware Court of Chancey	530.00 plus \$2.40 per page court reporter fee	
Georgia Courts	\$55.00 plus \$2.40 per page court reporter tee	•
Louisiana Courts (Chinese Drywali Litigation)	\$30.00 plus \$2.40 per page court reporter fee \$10.00 plus \$0.60 per page court reporter fee	•
Maryland Courts	\$60.00 plus \$2.40 per page court reporter fee	
West Virginia Courts	\$10.00 plus \$1.00 per page court reporter fee	•
Page 1 - And South Control of the Co	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
ेलार ६ (१४४)च्या १० व्यक्त स्थापना । विश्व ११४८)च्या स्थापना । स्थापना १००५	alapting a last the translation of the articles	
In all courts unless otherwise listed on a court-specific price		.•
shoet:		
		
Aleris	\$16.00 per Aten	. *
Searches	\$10.00 per Search	•
Reports	\$20.00 per:Report	
Public Access Documents		
·		
Documents from Delaware Courts		
	\$40.00 per document	•
Transcripts		
Delaware Superior Courts	\$60.00 plus \$2.40 per page court reporter fee	
Delaware Court of Chancery	\$60.00 plus \$2.40 per page court reporter fee	•
Georgia Courts Louisiana Courts (Chinese Drywall Litigation)	\$60.00 plus \$2.40 per page court reporter fee	
Maryland Courts	\$10.00 plus \$0.90 per page court reporter fee	
West Virginia Courts	\$80,00 plus \$2,40 per page court reporter fee \$10,00 plus \$1,00 per page court reporter fee	
		•
Att ather December 1	1	
All other Documents	\$20,00 per document	

There there is	
Track when a recipient of an e-service transaction opens a transaction	\$2,00 per transaction
Telegation distributed (1915)	
View or print a comprehensive, time-stamped snapshot of a transaction (one-time fee regardless of subsequent views to updates on Transaction Status)	S10.00 per.report
The Bridge Control of the Control of	
Online notification of case activity—and optional email notification	
Maryland – Baltimore, Prince George's County	\$2.00 per Alert setup, per month—plus \$0.10 per notification generated (\$10.00 maximum)
All Other courts	\$15.00 per Alert setup, per month
Transferações, cranii copulation de	
	\$10.00 per involce per month Electronic involces are no charge
Sugaran film, end open municipal	
Orders called into LexisNexis Customer Support and completed on your behalf	\$95.00 plus applicable e-file or e-service transaction fees
alds and Golden (Golden Architect	
	\$5.00 per trans + \$0.50 per page + std filing fee
(Thetaeret: Efficiences) SecurEffects.	
	Varies, refer to specific court or project price list
White-constitute	
2 Hour Customized Training	\$50 per participant, (\$250 mlnimum, \$500 maximum), plus travel expenses
भूतक अभाग्यदेश कार्कारक है। सामग्रीहरूका स्वतः वाहाहरू	
2 Hour Customized Training	\$150 per session

Prices are subject to change.