Kirkland v. Martin et al Doc. 24

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA (GREENVILLE DIVISION)

Sharon Kirkland,	Civil Action No.: 6:09-cv-2218-HFF
Plaintiff,)
VS.)
Mike Martin; and Maloney, Martin	
& Mitchell, L.L.P.,	
Defendants.	

CONSENT ORDER EXTENDING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

THIS MATTER comes before the Court by way of Plaintiff's request, pursuant to Local Rule 6.01 (D.S.C. 2009), to extend the time to file a response to Defendants Mike Martin and Maloney, Martin & Mitchell, L.L.P.'s ("Defendants") Motion to Dismiss, filed on October 6, 2009 (Docket Entry # 18). Plaintiff has requested fifteen (15) additional days to respond. Plaintiff has requested the additional time to fully respond to Defendants' dispositive motion. This is the first extension requested by the Plaintiff, and such extension would not affect any other deadlines in the case. Defendants have consented to the extension of time requested which would render the deadline for Plaintiff's response to be on or before November 10, 2009.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's deadline to file a response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is extended until on or before November 10, 2009.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Henry F. Floyd

Honorable Henry F. Floyd United States District Judge

Spartanburg, South Carolina October __22__, 2009

We so move:

s/William R. Padget

Harry L. Goldberg William R. Padget FINKEL LAW FIRM LLC 1201 Main Street, Suite 1800 Post Office Box 1799 Columbia, SC 29202 (803) 765-2935 Attorneys for the Plaintiff

We consent:

/s Curtis W. Dowling

Curtis W. Dowling James John Todd Kincannon Barnes Alford Stork & Johnson, LLP Post Office Box 8448 Columbia, SC 29202 Attorneys for the Defendant