

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

PATRICK WAYNE BELL,

Plaintiff,

VS.

FAUSTO AVILA, *et al*,

Defendants.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:11-CV-4238


**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR A MORE DEFINITE OBJECTION**

On March 28, 2013, this Court entered summary judgment and dismissed most of the defendants in this case. On May 1, 2013, plaintiff Patrick Wayne Bell filed a document styled "Plaintiff's Motion for a More Definite Objection to Judge Hoyt's Ruling." The relief Bell seeks with this motion is unclear, but the motion states no grounds for any relief.

Bell first contends that he was denied due process because, according to Bell, this Court held an *ex parte* conference with the defendants. No such conference ever occurred. Bell points to docket entry 69 as proof of this alleged conference. Docket Entry 69 is the memorandum and order granting the defendants' motion for summary judgment. There is also an administrative docket entry accompanying the memorandum and order which terminates the prevailing defendants from this case. The motion was decided on the papers, and no hearing or conference ever took place.

The remainder of Bell's motion asserts objections to the Court's decision. These are largely duplicative of argument raised in the summary judgment papers. If Bell believes that this Court erred, he is free to raise that argument on appeal. His motion, however, states no basis for relief in this Court. Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Bell's Motion for a More Definite Objection to Judge Hoyt's Ruling (Doc. # 79) is DENIED.

SIGNED on this 8<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2013.



Kenneth M. Hoyt  
United States District Judge