UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

CORNELIUS R. SEPHUS,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:13-CV-3709

\$
LIBERTY MUTUAL INSURANCE

COMPANY,

Defendant.

\$
Defendant.

OPINION ON DISMISSAL

Plaintiff Cornelius R. Sephus, a state inmate confined in the Texas Department of Criminal Justice - Correctional Institutions Division's (TDCJ-CID) James Allred Unit, has filed a *pro se* civil rights suit alleging breach of contract against Liberty Mutual Insurance Company. Plaintiff seeks damages totaling over three trillion dollars. (Docket No. 1.) Plaintiff seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*.¹

Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), a prisoner cannot file a civil action *in forma pauperis* in federal court if, on three or more prior occasions, while incarcerated, he brought an action that was dismissed for being frivolous, malicious, or failing to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The only exception to this revocation of an inmate's privilege to proceed *in forma pauperis* is if he is in immediate danger of serious physical harm. <u>Banos v. O'Guin</u>, 144 F.3d 883, 884 (5th Cir. 1998).

Plaintiff's present suit is clearly subject to the three-strikes bar under Section 1915(g) because his complaint does not indicate that he is in any danger and his litigation history reveals

¹ Plaintiff did not file a proper motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, however, he has not paid the filing fee and has submitted a copy of his Inmate Trust Fund account statement showing that he has no funds available.

that he has previously filed at least three frivolous cases in the federal courts. Sephus v. Pagan,

No. 96-50689 (5th Cir., filed Sept. 18, 1995) (appeal dismissed as frivolous, sanction warning

issued May 13, 1997); Sephus v. Adams, No. 5:05-CV-903 (W.D. Tex., San Antonio Div., filed

Sept. 23, 2005) (dismissed with prejudice as frivolous October 31, 2006); Sephus v. Stelle, No.

4:05-CV-2080 (S.D. Tex., Houston Div., filed June 14, 2005) (dismissed with prejudice as

frivolous August 8, 2007).

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that this complaint is DISMISSED under 28 U.S.C. §

1915(g) without prejudice to refiling with payment of the entire \$400.00 filing fee. Any pending

motions are further DENIED.

The Clerk will provide a copy of this Order to Petitioner.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas, this 19th day of February, 2014.

MELINDA HARMON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE