Jones v. Stephens Doc. 4

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

ANTHONY RAY JONES,	§
(TDCJ-CID #1698502)	§
Petitioner,	§ § 8
vs.	§ CIVIL ACTION H-14-2295
WILLIAM STEPHENS,	§ § 8
Respondent.	\$ §

MEMORANDUM AND OPINION

The petitioner has failed to submit an application to proceed as a pauper or to pay a fee of \$5.00, as required by the Clerk's Notice of Deficient Pleading dated August 13, 2014. (Docket Entry No. 3). The notice specifically provided that failure to comply as directed may result in the dismissal of this action. The petitioner's failure to file an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay the filing fee forces this court to conclude that he lacks due diligence.

Under the inherent powers necessarily vested in a court to manage its own affairs, this court determines that dismissal for want of prosecution is appropriate. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); *Link v. Wabash R.R.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962); *Woodson v. Surgitek, Inc.*, 57 F.3d 1406, 1417 (5th Cir. 1995); 8 J. MOORE, FEDERAL PRACTICE § 41.51(3)(b) & (e) (3d ed. 2002). Upon a proper showing, relief from this order may be granted in accordance with FED. R. CIV. P. 60(b). *See Link*, 370 U.S. at 635.

This action is dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution.

SIGNED on September 30, 2014, at Houston, Texas.

Lee H. Rosenthal
United States District Judge