

United States District Court  
Southern District of Texas

**ENTERED**

September 25, 2024

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MCALLEN DIVISION**

JUAN PABLO ROBLES  
HERNANDEZ  
a/k/a JUAN QUINOGA,

**Plaintiff,**

v.

**Civil Action No. 7:24-CV-00234**

HIDALGO COUNTY ADULT  
DETENTION CENTER,  
ADMINISTRATION AND MEDICAL  
ADMINISTRATION, *et al.*,

**Defendants.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION  
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Pending before the Court is the September 4, 2024, Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") prepared by Magistrate Judge Nadia S. Medrano. (Dkt. No. 5). Judge Medrano made findings and conclusions and recommended that Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, (Dkt. No. 2), be **DENIED** and his civil rights action, (Dkt. No. 1), be **DISMISSED** without prejudice.


The Parties were provided proper notice and the opportunity to object to the M&R. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No party filed an objection. As a result, review is straightforward: plain error. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005). No plain error appears.

Accordingly, the Court accepts the M&R and adopts it as the Court's opinion. It is therefore ordered that:

- (1) Judge Medrano's M&R, (Dkt. No. 5), is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in its entirety as the holding of the Court;
- (2) Plaintiff's motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, (Dkt. No. 2), is **DENIED**; and
- (3) Plaintiff's civil rights action, (Dkt. No. 1), is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

It is SO ORDERED.

Signed on September 24, 2024.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DREW B. TIPTON  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE