

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

JON R. DEUTSCH,

Plaintiff,

v.

MOHAMMAD S. MEMON,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

1:16-CV-362-RP

ORDER

Before the court is the above-entitled matter. On July 11, 2016, counsel for Plaintiff filed a Notice of Settlement. (Dkt. 7). That document informed the Court “that the instant case and disputes arising out of the issue in controversy have been settled” and represented that Plaintiff would “submit its Agreed Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice within 30 days.” (*Id.*). In the one year since the Notice of Settlement, the Court has received no additional filings in this case.

A district court may *sua sponte* dismiss an action for failure to prosecute or to comply with any court order. *Larson v. Scott*, 157 F.3d 1030, 1031 (5th Cir. 1998). The Court’s authority to dismiss a case for failure to prosecute is based on the Court’s inherent authority to manage and administer its own affairs to ensure the orderly and expeditious disposition of cases. *Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 630–31 (1962).

Here, Plaintiff neither submitted its Agreed Motion to Dismiss within the deadline provided to the Court nor filed any additional motions. The Court therefore finds that this action should be and is hereby **DISMISSED** for failure to prosecute. It is hereby **ORDERED** that this case is **CLOSED**.

SIGNED on July 14, 2017.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "R. Pitman", written above a horizontal line.

ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE