

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

IN RE <i>EX PARTE</i> APPLICATION OF	§	
HUNAN SOKAN NEW MATERIALS CO.,	§	1:23-MC-1445-DII
LTD.’s REQUEST FOR DISCOVERY	§	
PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1782.	§	

ORDER

Before the Court is the report and recommendation from United States Magistrate Judge Susan Hightower concerning Star Wish Enterprises Ltd.’s (“Star Wish”) Motion to Intervene and for Reconsideration of Hunan Sokan New Materials Co., Ltd.’s (“Sokan”) Application for Discovery Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782, (Dkt. 4). (R. & R., Dkt. 15). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Rule 1(d) of Appendix C of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, Judge Hightower issued her report and recommendation on August 2, 2024. (*Id.*). As of the date of this order, no party has filed objections to the report and recommendation.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b), a party may serve and file specific, written objections to a magistrate judge’s proposed findings and recommendations within fourteen days after being served with a copy of the report and recommendation and, in doing so, secure de novo review by the district court. When no objections are timely filed, a district court can review the magistrate’s report and recommendation for clear error. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note (“When no timely objection is filed, the [district] court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.”).

Because no party has filed timely objections, the Court reviews the report and recommendation for clear error. Having done so and finding no clear error, the Court accepts and adopts the report and recommendation as its own order.

Accordingly, the Court **ORDERS** that the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, (Dkt. 15), is **ADOPTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Star Wish's motion to intervene and for reconsideration, (Dkt. 4), is **GRANTED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court's previous Order Granting Sokan's Application for Discovery Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782, (Dkt. 2), is **VACATED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Sokan's Application for Discovery Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782, (Dkt. 1), is **DENIED**.

IT IS FINALLY ORDERED that this case is **CLOSED**.

SIGNED on August 27, 2024.



ROBERT PITMAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE