

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, NORTHERN DIVISION

SUSAN L. HORNBUCKLE, Plaintiff, vs. ADECCO USA, INC. Defendant.	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART MOTION TO COMPEL Case No: 1:07-CV-110 TC District Judge Tena Campbell Magistrate Judge David Nuffer
---	--

Plaintiff Susan Hornbuckle has filed a motion requesting that Defendant Adecco USA, Inc., be compelled to produce a company representative pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) to provide deposition testimony about certain topics.¹ Adecco has filed an opposition.² The factual background for Hornbuckle's motion is set forth more fully in the parties' memoranda.³

After carefully considering the parties' submissions, the court rules as follows:

The Motion to compel is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED IN PART.

Topics 1-11 are improper **at this time** for the reasons stated in the court's order of May 23, 2009.⁴

¹Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify Order and Compel Discovery, docket no. 32, filed September 21, 2009.

²Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify Order and Compel Discovery (Opposition Memorandum), docket no. 38, filed October 1, 2009.

³Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion to Clarify Order and Compel Discovery at 1-4, docket no. 33, filed September 21, 2009; Opposition Memorandum at 1-4.

⁴Docket no. 27.

Topic 12 is stricken.


Topic 13 shall be treated as a contention interrogatory and shall be answered on or before October 13, 2009.

Topic 14 is stricken.

Topics 1-5 on Deposition Day 3 ("DD3") are stricken.

October 5, 2009.

BY THE COURT:



David Nuffer
U.S. Magistrate Judge