IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

DAVID WEBB,

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER OVERRULING PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS

v.

WEBER COUNTY, et al.,

District Judge David Nuffer

Case No. 1:11-cv-00128

Defendants.

Plaintiff David Webb filed the following objections (collectively, the "Objections"):

- Pro Se Plaintiff's Objection to U.S. Magistrate Judge's Order Denying Mr. Webb's Motion for Leave to File Motion.¹
- Supplemental Pro Se Plaintiff's Objections to U.S. Magistrate Judge's Order Denying Mr. Webb's Motion for Leave to File Motion.²
- Opposition to Docket Text Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Motion for Ogden City Defendants to Surrender the Original Hard-Drive Dash Cam Video.³
- Objections to Court Entry After ECF No. 451 & Docket Text Order Denying Motion Seeking Recusal.⁴
- Combined Objections to Order Denying Plaintiff David Webb's Motion to Court for Payment of Fees at Government Expense & Order Denying David Webb's Motion Seeking Recusal.⁵
- Objections to Docket Text Order Denying ECF No. 486 Motion for Leave to File Motion Pursuant to FRCP Rules 60(b) & 60(d) & ECF No. 487 Supplement.⁶
- Combined Objections to Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Motion to Notify Chief U.S. District Judge David Nuffer of 10th Circuit Court of Appeals Precedent &

¹ Docket no. 430, filed September 18, 2017.

² Docket no. 433, filed September 21, 2017.

³ Docket no. 442, filed October 13, 2017.

⁴ Docket no. 455, filed November 6, 2017.

⁵ Docket no. 483, filed February 9, 2018.

⁶ Docket no. 491, filed February 27, 2018.

Motion for Leave to File Motion to Notify Chief U.S. District Judge David Nuffer of U.S. Supreme Court Precedent.⁷

• Objections to Order Granting Motion to Accompany [Proposed] Order Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2041, 2042 & FRCP Rule 67, and Local Rule DUCivR 67(g).⁸

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Objections, and for good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that each of the Objections⁹ is OVERRULED. The orders of

the Magistrate Judge to which the Objections correspond (collectively, the "Magistrate Judge's

Orders")¹⁰ are not "clearly erroneous or ... contrary to law."¹¹ Furthermore, having reviewed the

Magistrate Judge's Orders¹⁰ de novo, including the record that was before the Magistrate Judge,

each of the Magistrate Judge's Orders are hereby AFFIRMED.

Signed September 4, 2018.

BY THE COURT:

David Nuffer United States District Judge

⁷ Docket no. 517, filed May 24, 2018.

⁸ Docket no. 518, filed May 31, 2018.

⁹ Docket no. 430, filed September 18, 2017; Docket no. 433, filed September 21, 2017; Docket no. 442, filed October 13, 2017; Docket no. 455, filed November 6, 2017; Docket no. 483, filed February 9, 2018; Docket no. 491, filed February 27, 2018; Docket no. 517, filed May 24, 2018; Docket no. 518, filed May 31, 2018.

¹⁰ Order Denying Mr. Webb's Motion for Leave to File Motion, docket no. 422, filed September 5, 2017; Docket Text Order Denying Motion for Leave to File, docket no. 436, filed September 29, 2017; Docket Text Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Motion Seeking Recusal, docket no. 452, filed November 2, 2017; Order Denying Plaintiff David Webb's Motion to Court for Payment of Fees at Government Expense, docket no. 480, filed February 1, 2018; Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Motion Seeking Recusal, docket no. 481, filed February 1, 2018; Docket Text Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Motion Pursuant to FRCP Rules 60(b)(3) & 60(d)(3), docket no. 490, filed February 21, 2018; Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Motion to Notify Chief U.S. District Judge David Nuffer of U.S. Supreme Court Precedent, docket no. 503, filed May 2, 2018; Order Denying Motion for Leave to File Motion to Notify Chief U.S. District Judge David Nuffer of 10th Circuit Court of Appeals Precedent, docket no. 510, filed May 17, 2018; Order Granting Motion to Accompany Order, docket no. 516, filed May 23, 2018.

¹¹ FED. R. CIV. P. 72(a).