
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, NORTHERN DIVISION

DAVID WEBB,

Plaintiff,

v.

WEBER COUNTY, et al.,

Defendants.

**MEMORANDUM DECISION AND
ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTION**

Case No. 1:11-cv-00128-DN

District Judge David Nuffer

United States Magistrate Judge Evelyn J. Furse entered an order (the “Magistrate Judge’s Order”)¹ granting Plaintiff David Webb’s motion for the disbursement of settlement funds and denying his motion for permission to file an additional motion.² Webb has filed an objection to the Magistrate Judge’s Order (the “Objection”).³

ORDER

Upon consideration of the Objection,³ and for good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Objection³ is OVERRULED. The Magistrate Judge’s Order is not “clearly erroneous or . . . contrary to law.”⁴ Furthermore, after having

¹ Order Granting Motion to Accompany Order Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2041 & 2042, FRCP Rule 67, Local Rules DUCivR 67(d) & 67(g) (ECF No. 539), and Denying As Moot Motion for Leave to File Motion to Accompany (Proposed) Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2041 & 2042, FRCP Rule 67, and Local Rule DUCivR 67(g) (ECF No. 593) (“Magistrate’s Order”), [docket no. 594](#), filed January 10, 2019.

² Motion to Accompany Order Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2041 & 2042, FRCP Rule 67, Local Rules DUCivR 67(d) & 67(g), [docket no. 539](#), filed July 5, 2018; Motion for Leave to File Motion to Accompany (Proposed) Motion Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 2041 & 2042, FRCP Rule 67, and Local Rule DUCivR 67(g), [docket no. 593](#), filed January 3, 2019.


³ Partial Opposition to U.S. Magistrate Judge Furse Order (ECF No. 594) Dated 10 January 2019, [docket no. 595](#), filed January 24, 2019.

⁴ [FED. R. CIV. P. 72\(a\)](#).

reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Order de novo, including the record that was before the Magistrate Judge, the Magistrate Judge's Order is hereby AFFIRMED.

Signed January 25, 2019.

BY THE COURT:



David Nuffer
United States District Judge