

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
 Mark F. James (5295)
 HATCH, JAMES & DODGE
 10 West Broadway, Suite 400
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
 Telephone: (801) 363-6363
 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)
 Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)
 Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)
 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 333 Main Street
 Armonk, New York 10504
 Telephone: (914) 749-8200
 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stuart H. Singer (admitted pro hac vice)
 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 401 East Las Olas Boulevard—Suite 1200
 Ft. Lauderdale, Florida 33301
 Telephone: (954) 356-0011
 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice)
 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 Bank of America Tower—Suite 2800
 100 Southeast Second Street
 Miami, Florida 33131
 Telephone: (305) 539-8400
 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

Devan V. Padmanabhan (admitted pro hac vice)
 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
 Telephone: (612) 340-2600
 Facsimile: (612) 340-2868
Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

NOVELL, INC.,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**SCO'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR
 LEAVE TO FILE ITS RESPONSE IN
 OPPOSITION TO NOVELL'S
 MOTION FOR SUMMARY
 JUDGMENT ON SCO'S FIRST
 CLAIM FOR SLANDER OF TITLE
 BASED ON FAILURE TO ESTABLISH
 SPECIAL DAMAGES**

Case No. 2:04cv139

Honorable Dale A. Kimball
 Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant The SCO Group (“SCO”) hereby moves the Court pursuant to District Court Rule 7-1(e) for an Order granting it leave to file *SCO’s Response in Opposition to Novell’s Motion for Summary Judgment on SCO’s First Claim for Slander of Title Based on Failure to Establish Special Damages* (the “Opposition Memorandum”) that consists of 41 pages, exclusive of face sheet, table of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

SCO’s Motion is made upon the grounds that the Opposition Memorandum of the indicated length is necessary given the complex nature of the issues raised by Novell’s Motion for Summary Judgment on SCO’s First Claim for Slander of Title Based on Failure to Establish Special Damages (the “Motion”) to the end that a Opposition to Novell’s Motion of the same complexity was necessitated.

SCO has endeavored to be as concise as possible, but respectfully submits that the excess length is necessary to fully and fairly address the issues being placed before the Court.

SCO respectfully requests that it be allowed to file its Opposition Memorandum containing 41 pages, exclusive of face sheet, table of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

DATED this the 18th day of May, 2007.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.
Brent O. Hatch
Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
Robert Silver
Stuart H. Singer
Stephen N. Zack
Edward Normand

By: /s/ Edward Normand
Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.