

Brent O. Hatch (5715)
 Mark F. James (5295)
 HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, PC
 10 West Broadway, Suite 400
 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
 Telephone: (801) 363-6363
 Facsimile: (801) 363-6666

Stephen N. Zack (admitted pro hac vice)
 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 Bank of America Tower – Suite 2800
 100 Southeast Second Street
 Miami, Florida 33131
 Telephone: (305) 539-8400
 Facsimile: (305) 539-1307

David Boies (admitted pro hac vice)
 Robert Silver (admitted pro hac vice)
 Edward Normand (admitted pro hac vice)
 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 333 Main Street
 Armonk, New York 10504
 Telephone: (914) 749-8200
 Facsimile: (914) 749-8300

Stuart Singer (admitted pro hac vice)
 BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP
 401 East Las Olas Blvd.
 Suite 1200
 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301
 Telephone: (954) 356-0011
 Facsimile: (954) 356-0022

Devan V. Padmanabhan (admitted pro hac vice)
 DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
 50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500
 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
 Telephone: (612) 340-2600
 Facsimile: (612) 340-2868

Attorneys for Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,
 a Delaware corporation,
 Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

vs.

NOVELL, INC.,
 a Delaware corporation,
 Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**SCO'S EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE
 TO FILE ITS REPLY MEMORANDUM IN
 FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION
 FOR RECONSIDERATION OR
 CLARIFICATION OF THE COURT'S
 AUGUST 10, 2007 ORDER**

Civil No.: 2:04CV00139
 Judge Dale A. Kimball
 Magistrate Brooke C. Wells

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant The SCO Group (“SCO”) hereby moves the Court pursuant to District Court Rule 7-1(e) for an Order granting it leave to file its Reply Memorandum in Further Support of its Motion For Reconsideration or Clarification of The Court’s August 10, 2007 Order (the “Reply Memorandum”) that consists of 10 pages, exclusive of face sheet, tables of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

SCO’s Motion is made upon the grounds that the Reply Memorandum of the indicated length is necessary given the complex nature of the issues raised by Novell’s Opposition to SCO’s Motion For Reconsideration or Clarification of The Court’s August 10, 2007 Order (the “Opposition”) to the end that a Reply Memorandum to Novell’s Opposition of the same complexity was necessitated.

SCO has endeavored to be as concise as possible, but respectfully submits that the excess length is necessary to fully and fairly address the issues being placed before the Court.

SCO respectfully requests that it be allowed to file its Reply Memorandum containing 10 pages, exclusive of face sheet, tables of contents and authorities, appendixes and exhibits.

DATED this 12th day of September, 2007.

HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.

Brent O. Hatch

Mark F. James

BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP

David Boies

Robert Silver

Stuart H. Singer

Stephen N. Zack

Edward Normand

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP

Devan V. Padmanabhan

Counsel for The SCO Group, Inc.

By: /s/ Edward Normand

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Plaintiff, The SCO Group, Inc., hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served on Defendant, Novell, Inc., on this 12th day of September, 2007, via CM/ECF to the following:

Thomas R. Karrenberg
John P. Mullen
Heather M. Sneddon
ANDERSON & KARRENBERG
700 Bank One Tower
50 West Broadway
Salt Lake City, UT 84101

Michael A. Jacobs
Matthew I. Kreeger
Kenneth W. Brakebill
MORRISON & FOERSTER
425 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-248

/s/ Edward Normand