SCO Grp v. Novell Inc Doc. 657

## WORKMAN | NYDEGGER A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Sterling A. Brennan (Utah State Bar No. 10060; E-mail: sbrennan@wnlaw.com)

David R. Wright (Utah State Bar No. 5164: E-mail: dwright@wnlaw.com)

Kirk R. Harris (Utah State Bar No. 10221; E-mail: kharris@wnlaw.com)

Cara J. Baldwin (Utah State Bar No. 11863; E-mail: cbaldwin@wnlaw.com)

1000 Eagle Gate Tower

60 E. South Temple

Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone: (801) 533-9800

Facsimile: (801) 328-1707

## MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Michael A. Jacobs (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*; E-mail: mjacobs@mofo.com)

Eric M. Acker (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*; E-mail: eacker@mofo.com)

Grant L. Kim (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*; E-Mail: gkim@mofo.com)

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105-2482

Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522

Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff Novell, Inc.

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

THE SCO GROUP, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Plaintiff,

VS.

NOVELL, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Defendant.

AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.

Case No. 2:04CV00139

NOVELL, INC.'S DAUBERT MOTION TO DISQUALIFY DR. GARY PISANO

Judge Ted Stewart

Defendant and counterclaim-plaintiff Novell, Inc. ("Novell") respectfully moves the

Court to disqualify Dr. Gary Pisano, a testifying expert retained by plaintiff and counterclaim-

defendant The SCO Group, Inc. ("SCO"). Novell's motion is made pursuant to Federal Rule of

Evidence ("Rule") 104(a), and on the grounds that (1) Dr. Pisano's opinion regarding market

penetration is inadmissible under Rule 702, because it is not based on sufficient facts or data and

is not the product of reliable principles and methods reliably applied to the facts of this case; and

(2) his opinion regarding the size of the potential market for SCOsource licenses is inadmissible

under Rules 402, 403, and 702 because it is not relevant, is likely to confuse the issues and

mislead the jury regarding damages, and will not assist the trier of fact.

Novell's motion is supported by an accompanying memorandum of points and

authorities. A proposed form of order is submitted herewith.

DATED: February 8, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sterling A. Brennan WORKMAN NYDEGGER

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

Attorneys for Defendant and

Counterclaim-Plaintiff Novell, Inc.

2