EXHIBIT 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

THE SCO GROUP, INC., a)
Delaware corporation,) Deposition of:
Plaintiff,) JEAN ACHESON
vs.)
NOVELL, INC., a Delaware corporation,) Case No. 2:04CV00139
Defendants.) Judge Dale A. Kimball
Derendants.)

MARCH 20, 2007 * 9:30 a.m.

Location: Anderson & Karrenberg 700 Chase Tower 50 West Broadway Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Reporter: Diana Kent, CSR, RPR, CRR Notary Public in and for the State of Utah Videographer: Max Nelson, CLVS

CitiCourt, LLC 801.532.3441

	Page 270		Page 272
1	immediate question that I'm asking you and then we	1	A. Yes.
2	can I can follow up with questions, although I	2	Q were there some discussions as to the
3	appreciate you elaborating.	3	rights that Novell had retained under the APA?
4	A. Okay.	4	A. Yes, I believe there were.
5	Q. Can you summarize that answer? In other	5	Q. And based on those discussions, what was
6	words, based on all those communications that you had	6	your understanding of what Novell had retained under
7	involving Novell personnel and Novell management	7	the APA?
8	around the time of the APA and after the closing,	8	MR. PERNICK: Objection. Lacks
9	what was your basic understanding of the basic terms	9	foundation.
10	of what Novell had sold to Santa Cruz and what it had	10	A. The SVRX binary royalty stream for the
11	retained, if anything?	11	customers that were existing at the time of the APA.
12	MR. PERNICK: Objection. Vague. Lacks	12	Q. Can you and I agree, just as a shorthand,
13	foundation.	13	that what you have just described as what Novell
14	A. Basically Novell had sold the entire UNIX	14	retained, we can just call that the binary royalty
15	product line and its assets, its intellectual	15	stream just to make this a little shorter? Can we
16 17	property, its contracts, the third-party royalty	16 17	have that agreement?
18	agreements. There were other agreements. They were all assigned to SCO and beyond that. And then there	18	MR. PERNICK: Objection.
19	was the administrative situation in order to handle	19	Q. Can we agree on that? Can you and I agree on that, Ms. Acheson?
20	the binary royalties.	20	A. Yes.
21	MR. PERNICK: Move to strike.	21	Q. So when you hear me say the binary royalty
22	Q. So is your testimony that the only thing	22	stream I will mean what I believe you just said: The
23	that Novell retained out of the UNIX business was the	23	binary royalties from the existing customers at the
24	right to what you call the SVRX binary royalties?	24	time of the APA.
25	MR. PERNICK: Objection. Lacks	25	A. That's fine.
	Page 271		Page 273
1	foundation. Leading.	1	Q. Okay. So during these communications that
2	A. That is correct.	2	you had which involved Novell people that are the
3	Q. Mr. Pernick asked you many questions about	3	basis of your understanding of what Novell retained,
4	instances when you or someone else at Novell or Santa	4	were there discussions with Novell people about that
5	Cruz would have expressly stated that Novell did not	5	subject matter of what Novell had retained?
6	have a right after the sale under the APA to anything	6	MR. PERNICK: Objection. Vague. Lacks
7	other than what you call the binary royalty stream.	7	foundation.
8	Do you recall those questions?	8	A. Yes.
9	A. Yes, I do.	9	Q. And who were some of those people?
10	Q. And you stated a couple times that there	10	A. Cindy Lamont, Barb Cavalla, Terry Dulin.
11	were some discussions; do you recall saying that?	11	Q. Those three names you just mentioned,
12	MR. PERNICK: Objection to form.	12	which meetings would those have
13	Mischaracterizes testimony. Lacks foundation.	13 14	A. Those were usually
14 15	Leading.	15	Q would those have occurred?
16	A. Sorry. Could you repeat?Q. I'm just trying to short-circuit this for	16	A. Those were usually more specific agreements around the reporting of the royalties to
17	everybody. But based on his objections lets me walk	17	Novell.
18	through everything and we will have to stay here	18	Q. Going back a little further in time. When
19	later.	19	you were meeting with the transition team, were there
20	A. Okay.	20	discussions about the rights that Novell had retained
21	MR. GONZALEZ: But these are basic things	21	under the APA, namely this binary royalty stream?
22	that she has already testified to before.	22	A. Among other things, yes, I believe there
23	Q. (By Mr. Gonzalez) During these	23	was some. It was just very generalized because, once
24	communications that are the basis of your	24	again, this was just sort of an understood point.
25		25	MR. PERNICK: Move to strike.

69 (Pages 270 to 273)

CitiCourt, LLC 801.532.3441

	Page 274		Page 276
1	Nonresponsive.	1	fees under new source code licenses. Do you recall
2	Q. And when you testified just now and	2	having conversations about that with Mr. Pernick?
3	earlier today that this was something that everybody	3	A. Yes.
4	understood, what do you mean by that, more precisely?	4	Q. And do you recall, what was your response?
5	A. Because in the explanations it was	5	MR. PERNICK: Objection to form.
6	basically understood that while the entire product	6	A. I believe I stated that if it was a new
7	line went to SCO, including the customer	7	customer to the SVRX, a customer that did not exist
8	relationships, the customer agreements, the	8	at the time of the transfer under the APA, that those
9	third-party royalties, joint development	9	fees would belong to SCO.
10	arrangements, the products, the source code tapes for	10	Q. Did you respond further
11	the entire hierarchy of products, the intellectual	11	MR. PERNICK: Objection to form.
12	property and stuff, it was just understood that the	12	Q to Mr. Pernick's questions about that?
13	one thing that SCO was unable to purchase from Novell	13	A. I believe I also stated that it really
14	was this ongoing SRVX revenue stream.	14	wasn't a situation that was thought about because
15	Q. And so besides your conversations with Ms.	15	normally if a customer was coming and they wanted to
16	Lamont and Ms. Dulin and Ms. Cavalla is that the	16	be able to develop a derivative work, it would have
17	name?	17	been in SCO's best interest to sell UnixWare to them,
18	A. Yes.	18	to keep them on the latest product. And usually
19	Q. Were there other conversations about what	19	customers, when they are developing a derivative
20	Novell had retained during the transition team	20	work, wish the latest technology.
21	meetings, for example?	21	MR. PERNICK: Move to strike.
22	A. Yes, there probably was.	22	Nonresponsive.
23	Q. And were there conversations or	23	Q. What is your understanding today as to
24	communications about that same subject matter, namely	24	whether SCO or Novell will be entitled or is entitled
25	what Novell had retained, during the company-wide	25	to revenue for any new SVRX licenses whether for
	Page 275		Page 277
1	meeting at Novell?	1	source code or for binary code?
2	MR. PERNICK: Objection to form. Vague.	2	MR. PERNICK: Objection. Lacks
3	A. That, I don't remember. I believe that	3	foundation.
4	there was. That, once again, it was the entire	4	A. It's my understanding that if there is a
5	product line, but that there were segments where we	5	new customer who wishes to purchase a UNIX product
б	were going to have a continued relationship with	6	from SCO, that this would be, you know that did
7	Novell.	7	not exist at the time of the APA, so it's a new
8	MR. PERNICK: Move to strike.	8	customer, that they would that that would be
9	Nonresponsive.	9	revenue due to SCO.
10	Q. When you say "continued relationship with	10	Q. And what is your basis for that
11	Novell," what do you mean by that?	11	understanding?
12	A. Well, that NetWare was going to be	12	A. Once again, discussions with management
13	embedded within the UnixWare code. And the	13	and others around the transition period and later.
14	relationship, the administrative relationship between	14	And legal, of course.
15	the two companies for the processing of the binary	15	Q. And when you say "discussions around the
16	royalty, SVRX binary royalty stream.	16	transition period and later, including with legal,"
17	Q. Do you recall Mr. Pernick asking you about	17	you are referring to people at which company?
18	whether there were communications during these	18	A. Well, if it was during the transition
19	meetings around the time of the APA that involved	19	period it would be both. If it was later, it would
20	Novell, communications about strike that. Let me	20	normally be mostly my management. It was really kind
21	simplify that.	21	of a moot point I don't remember later discussions
22	Focusing again on the meetings that	22 23	coming up with Novell in regards to it. Q. Do you recall a series of questions by Mr.
23	occurred with Novell or at Novell during the time of		
	the APA, Mr. Pernick asked you if there was ever a discussion as to who would have the rights to the	23 24 25	Pernick about your education and professional background?

70 (Pages 274 to 277)

CitiCourt, LLC 801.532.3441