

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
CENTRAL DIVISION

JOSEPH LUCERO,

Plaintiff,

v.

UTAH STATE PRISON MEDICAL,

Defendant.

**ORDER TO AMEND DEFICIENT
COMPLAINT**

Case No. 2:08-CV-918 TC

District Judge Tena Campbell

Plaintiff, Joseph Lucero, a former inmate at Utah State Prison, filed this *pro se* civil rights suit. See [42 U.S.C.S. § 1983 \(2009\)](#). Plaintiff was allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis*. See [28 id. 1915](#). Reviewing the complaint under [§ 1915\(e\)](#), the Court has determined that Plaintiff's complaint is deficient as described below.

Deficiencies in Complaint

Complaint:

- (a) does not match names in caption with names in the complaint text.
- (b) improperly names "Utah State Prison Medical" as a defendant, though it is not an independent legal entity that can sue or be sued.

Instructions to Plaintiff

Under Rule 8 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure a complaint is required to contain "(1) a short and plain statement of the grounds upon which the court's jurisdiction depends, . . .

(2) a short and plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief, and (3) a demand for judgment for the relief the pleader seeks." [Fed. R. Civ. P. 8\(a\)](#). The requirements of [Rule 8\(a\)](#) are intended to guarantee "that defendants enjoy fair notice of what the claims against them are and the grounds upon which they rest." [TV Commnc'ns Network, Inc. v. ESPN, Inc., 767 F. Supp. 1062, 1069 \(D. Colo. 1991\), aff'd, 964 F.2d 1022 \(10th Cir. 1992\)](#).

Pro se litigants are not excused from compliance with the minimal pleading requirements of Rule 8. "This is so because a pro se plaintiff requires no special legal training to recount the facts surrounding his alleged injury, and he must provide such facts if the court is to determine whether he makes out a claim on which relief can be granted." [Hall v. Bellmon, 935 F.2d 1106, 1009 \(10th Cir. 1991\)](#). Moreover, "it is not the proper function of the Court to assume the role of advocate for a pro se litigant." [Id. at 1110](#). Thus, the Court cannot "supply additional facts, [or] construct a legal theory for plaintiff that assumes facts that have not been pleaded." [Dunn v. White, 880 F.2d 1188, 1197 \(10th Cir. 1989\)](#).

Plaintiff should consider the following points before refiling his complaint. First, the revised complaint must stand entirely on its own and shall not refer to, or incorporate by

reference, any portion of the original complaint. See [Murray v. Archambo, 132 F.3d 609, 612 \(10th Cir. 1998\)](#) (stating amended complaint supercedes original). Second, the complaint must clearly state what each individual defendant did to violate Plaintiff's civil rights. See [Bennett v. Passic, 545 F.2d 1260, 1262-63 \(10th Cir. 1976\)](#) (stating personal participation of each named defendant is essential allegation in civil rights action). "To state a claim, a complaint must 'make clear exactly *who* is alleged to have done *what* to *whom*.'" *Stone v. Albert*, No. 08-2222, slip op. at 4 (10th Cir. July 20, 2009) (unpublished) (emphasis in original) (quoting *Robbins v. Oklahoma*, 519 F.3d 1242, 1250 (10th Cir. 2008)). Third, Plaintiff cannot name an individual as a defendant based solely on his or her supervisory position. See [Mitchell v. Maynard, 80 F.3d 1433, 1441, \(10th Cir. 1996\)](#) (stating supervisory status alone is insufficient to support liability under § 1983). And, finally, Plaintiff is warned that litigants who have had three *in forma pauperis* cases dismissed as frivolous or meritless will be restricted from filing future lawsuits without prepaying fees.

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

(1) Plaintiff shall have **THIRTY (30) DAYS** from the date of this order to cure the deficiencies noted above;

(2) the Clerk's Office shall mail Plaintiff a copy of the Pro Se Litigant Guide; and,

(3) if Plaintiff fails to timely cure the above deficiencies according to the instructions here this action will be dismissed without further notice.

DATED this 29th day of December, 2009.

BY THE COURT:



TENA CAMPBELL, CHIEF JUDGE
United States District Court