IN THE UNITED STATES COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

TAE H. CHON, Petitioner,	MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S 52(b) MOTION
VS.	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Respondent.	Civil Case No. 2:09-CV-654 TS Criminal Case No. 2:01-CR-487 TS

This matter is before the Court on Petitioner's 52(b) Motion. Considering Petitioner's

Motion, the Court finds that it constitutes a second or successive petition under 28 U.S.C. §

2255. As such, the Court does not have the jurisdiction to address the merits of the Motion.¹ It is therefore

ORDERED that Petitioner's 52(b) Motion (Docket No. 33 in Case No. 2:09-CV-654 TS and Docket No. 149 in Case No. 2:01-CR-487 TS) is DISMISSED for lack of jurisdiction.

¹*In re Cline*, 531 F.3d 1249, 1251 (10th Cir. 2008) ("A district court does not have jurisdiction to address the merits of a second or successive § 2255 . . . claim until [the appropriate court of appeals] has granted the required authorization.")..

DATED October 22, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

uar

TED STEWART United States District Judge