Ginter v. Johnson et al Doc. 23

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

THOMAS B. GINTER,

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT & RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff,

Case No. 2:13-cv-225-DN-EJF

v.

CATHERINE M. JOHNSON, KELSEY B. YOUNG,

District Judge David Nuffer

Defendants.

Before the court is Magistrate Judge Furse's Report and Recommendation (R & R)<sup>1</sup> under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) recommending that the court grant Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.<sup>2</sup> Plaintiff Thomas B. Ginter filed a document<sup>3</sup> which the court has construed as an objection to the R & R. Ginter's objection fails to directly address any of findings contained in the R & R. Instead Ginter provides a somewhat incoherent list of terms without stating how they relate to his objection or to the R & R.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Report and Recommendation Re: Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 6), <u>docket no. 18</u>, signed Dec. 20, 2013 and filed Dec. 22, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Motion to Dismiss, docket no. 6, filed Apr. 29, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Affidavit of Thomas B. Ginter Answer and Rebuttal to Motion to Dismiss (ECF NO. 6), <u>docket no. 19</u>, filed Jan. 8, 2013.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> *Id.* at 1-2.

The court has reviewed all relevant materials de novo and adopts the Report and Recommendation<sup>5</sup> in its entirety. Ginter's objection is OVERRULED. The motion to dismiss<sup>6</sup> is GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close the case Signed March 27, 2014.

BY THE COURT

District Judge David Nuffer

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Docket no. 18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Docket no. 6.