IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ex rel. GERALD POLUKOFF, M.D., Plaintiff/Relator,	MEMORANDUM DECISION AND
V.	ORDER OVERRULING OBJECTION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE DECISION
ST. MARK'S HOSPITAL; INTERMOUNTAIN HEALTHCARE, INC.; INTERMOUNTAIN MEDICAL CENTER; SHERMAN SORENSEN, M.D.; and SORENSEN CARDIOVASCULAR GROUP, Defendants.	Case No. 2:16-CV-304-TS-EJF District Judge Ted Stewart

This matter is before the Court on Defendants' Objection of Magistrate Judge Order Compelling Production of Peer Review Materials. For the reasons discussed below, the Court

will overrule the objection.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A) and Rule 72(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

the Court reviews a Magistrate Judge's orders on nondispositive matters under a clearly

erroneous or contrary to law standard.¹ "The clearly erroneous standard . . . requires that the

reviewing court affirm unless it 'on the entire evidence is left with the definite and firm

conviction that a mistake has been committed.""²

The Court has carefully reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Order, Defendants' objection thereto, the underlying briefing, and the relevant case law. Having done so, the Court cannot

¹ 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a).

² Ocelot Oil Corp. v. Sparrow Indus., 847 F.2d 1458, 1464 (10th Cir. 1988) (quoting United States v. United States Gypsum Co., 333 U.S. 364, 395 (1948)).

conclude that the Magistrate Judge's decision was clearly erroneous or contrary to law. It is therefore

ORDERED that Defendants' objection (Docket No. 413) is OVERRULED. Defendants are directed to produce the documents required under the January 21, 2020 Order (Docket No. 404) within seven (7) days of this Order.

DATED this 20th day of March, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

Upited States District Judge