Zivkovic v. Hood et al Doc. 6

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH CENTRAL DIVISION

DAVID ZIVKOVIC,

Plaintiff,

v.

KIMBERLY HOOD AND ROBERT JOHNSON.

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM DECISION

Case No. 2:17-cv-00067-DN-PMW

District Judge David Nuffer Chief Magistrate Judge Paul M. Warner

Chief District Judge David Nuffer referred this case to Magistrate Judge Paul M. Warner pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). The court permitted Plaintiff David Zivkovic ("Plaintiff") to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP") under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Before the court is Plaintiff's motion for service of process on Defendants Kimberly Hood and Robert Johnson.

When a case is proceeding under the IFP statute, officers of the court are required to issue and serve all process and perform all duties related to service of process. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d). At the same time, the IFP statute requires the court to screen the complaint in such a case to determine whether it should be served upon the named defendants or dismissed. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). In this case, the court has not yet completed that screening process and, consequently, has not yet made a determination about whether Plaintiff's complaint should indeed be served on the named defendants. For that reason, Plaintiff's motion for service of process is unnecessary and is **DENIED** at this time. As indicated above, the court will screen

¹ Dkt. No. 4.

² Dkt. No. 2.

³ Dkt. No. 5.

Plaintiff's complaint and determine whether it should be served on the named defendants. It is unnecessary for Plaintiff to take any action to trigger that process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 1st Day of February 2017.

BY THE COURT:

PAUL M. WARNER

United States Magistrate Judge