McMichael v. Pallito et al Doc. 94

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

THURMOND McMICHAEL, : Plaintiff :

v. : File No. 1:09-cv-130-jgm-jmc

:

ANDREW PALLITO, ROBERT KUPEC, :
JAY SIMONS, PHIL FERNANDEZ, :
STAN MORSE, DAVID BULEY, :
STEPHEN McCARTHY and :

BARBARA LESTER, :

Defendants :

<u>ORDER</u>

The Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation was filed October 24, 2011. (Doc. 93.) After <u>de novo</u> review and absent objection, the Report and Recommendation is AFFIRMED, APPROVED and ADOPTED. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 83) is GRANTED. To the extent Plaintiff's opposition memorandum (Doc. 87) is an opposing motion, it is DENIED, and this case is DISMISSED. Plaintiff's motion to compel (Doc. 84) is DENIED as moot.

It is further certified that any appeal taken in forma pauperis from this Order would not be taken in good faith because such an appeal would be frivolous. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

SO ORDERED.

Dated at Brattleboro, in the District of Vermont, this 1st day of December, 2011.

/s/ J. Garvan Murtha

Honorable J. Garvan Murtha United States District Judge