Miller v. Pallito Doc. 17

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

Timothy P. Miller, : Petitioner, :

:

v. : File No. 2:13 CV 211

:

Andrew Pallito, : Respondent. :

ORDER

The Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge was filed January 21, 2014. Petitioner's objection were filed on February 20, 2014.

A district judge must make a *de novo* determination of those portions of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation to which an objection is made. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1); Perez-Rubio v. Wyckoff, 718 F.Supp. 217, 227 (S.D.N.Y. 1989). The district judge may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Id*.

After careful review of the file, the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation and the objections, this

Court ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's recommendations in full.

The respondent's motion to dismiss (Doc. 5) is **GRANTED** and petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 4) is **DISMISSED**.

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), a certificate of

appealability is **DENIED** because the petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of denial of a federal right. Furthermore, the petitioner's grounds for relief do not present issues which are debatable among jurists of reasons, which could have been resolved differently, or which deserve further proceedings. See e.g., Flieger v. Delo, 16 F.3rd 878, 882-83 (8th Cir.) cert. denied, 513 U.S. 946 (1994); Sawyer v. Collins, 986 F.2d 1493, 1497 (5th cir.), cert. denied, 508 U.S. 933 (1993).

Furthermore, it is certified that any appeal of this matter would not be taken in good faith, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

THIS CASE IS DISMISSED.

Dated at Burlington, in the District of Vermont, this 21st day of March, 2014.

/s/ William K. Sessions III
William K. Sessions III
U.S. District Court