UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA,	:	:					
				:	:					
				:	:					
	v.			:	:	Case	No.	2:11	CR	105-1
				:	:					
SETH M	JRDOCK			:	;					

<u>ORDER</u>

The Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge was filed January 28, 2014. Defendant's objection was filed February 11, 2014.

A district judge must make a *de novo* determination of those portions of a magistrate judge's report and recommendation to which an objection is made. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) (1); *Perez-Rubio v. Wyckoff*, 718 F.Supp. 217, 227 (S.D.N.Y. 1989). The district judge may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the magistrate's proposed findings and recommendations." *Id*.

After careful review of the file, the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation and the objections, this Court ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's recommendations in full.

The motion to vacate, set aside or correct his sentence (Doc. 92)is **DENIED** and the Motion for Summary Judgment (Doc. 93) is also **DENIED**.

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), a certificate of appealability is DENIED because the petitioner has failed to

make a substantial showing of denial of a federal right. Furthermore, the petitioner's grounds for relief do not present issues which are debatable among jurists of reasons, which could have been resolved differently, or which deserve further proceedings. <u>See e.g., Flieger v. Delo</u>, 16 F.3rd 878, 882-83 (8th Cir.) <u>cert.</u> <u>denied</u>, 513 U.S. 946 (1994); <u>Sawyer v. Collins</u>, 986 F.2d 1493, 1497 (5th cir.), <u>cert.</u> <u>denied</u>, 508 U.S. 933 (1993).

Furthermore, it is certified that any appeal taken *in* forma pauperis would not be taken in good faith, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

THIS CASE IS CLOSED.

Dated at Burlington, in the District of Vermont, this 3rd day of March, 2014.

<u>/s/ William K. Sessions III</u> William K. Sessions III U.S. District Court Judge