Rheaume v. Pallito et al Doc. 85

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF VERMONT

Allen Rheaume,

:

Plaintiff,

: File No. 2:15 CV 135

:

Andrew Pallito, Mark Potanas,

Cullen Bullard, Kris Goldstein,

Samantha Clarke, Kim Bushey,

Jim Gibson, Dale Crook,

Jim Gibson, Dale Crook, :
Larry Martineau, Tammy Kennison, :
and Brad Dunsmore, :

:

Defendants.

v.

ORDER

The Report and Recommendation of the United States

Magistrate Judge was filed May 11, 2016. After careful review

of the file and the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, no objections having been filed by any party,

this Court ADOPTS the Magistrate Judge's recommendations in

full for the reasons stated in the Report.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 68) is **GRANTED** and Rheaume's Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 57) is **DISMISSED**.

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 22(b), a certificate of appealability is DENIED because the petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of denial of a federal right.

Furthermore, the petitioner's grounds for relief do not present issues which are debatable among jurists of reasons, which

could have been resolved differently, or which deserve further proceedings. See e.g., Flieger v. Delo, 16 F.3rd 878, 882-83 (8th Cir.) cert. denied, 513 U.S. 946 (1994); Sawyer v. Collins, 986 F.2d 1493, 1497 (5th cir.), cert. denied, 508 U.S. 933 (1993).

Furthermore, it is certified that any appeal of this matter would not be taken in good faith, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3).

Dated at Burlington, in the District of Vermont, this 14th day of June, 2016.

/s/ William K. Sessions III William K. Sessions III District Court Judge