

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
Alexandria Division

TRIANTAFYLLOS TAFAS,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	Case No. 1:07cv846 (JCC/TRJ)
	)	
JON W. DUDAS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

CONSOLIDATED WITH

SMITHKLINE BEECHAM	)	
CORPORATION, et al.	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Case No. 1:07cv1008 (JCC/TRJ)
	)	
JON W. DUDAS, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

**MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION OF *AMICI CURIAE* PUBLIC PATENT FOUNDATION, COMPUTER & COMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION, AARP, CONSUMER FEDERATION OF AMERICA, ESSENTIAL ACTION, FOUNDATION FOR TAXPAYER AND CONSUMER RIGHTS, INITIATIVE FOR MEDICINES, ACCESS & KNOWLEDGE, KNOWLEDGE ECOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, PRESCRIPTION ACCESS LITIGATION, PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE, RESEARCH ON INNOVATION, AND SOFTWARE FREEDOM LAW CENTER FOR LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ANTICIPATED MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

The Public Patent Foundation (“PUBPAT”), Computer & Communications Industry Association (“CCIA”), AARP, Consumer Federation of America (“CFA”), Essential Action, Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights (“FTCR”), Initiative for Medicines, Access &

Knowledge (“I-MAK”), Knowledge Ecology International (“KEI”), Prescription Access Litigation (“PAL”), Public Knowledge (“PK”), Research on Innovation (“ROI”), and Software Freedom Law Center (“SFLC”) (collectively “Public Interest Amici”), by undersigned counsel, submit this memorandum in support of their motion for leave to file a brief as *amici curiae* in support of defendants Jon W. Dudas' and United States Patent and Trademark Office's (collectively “USPTO”) anticipated motions for summary judgment. As described more fully below, the Public Interest Amici represent substantial interests in this case and can present the Court with unique perspectives on some of the relevant issues.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The Public Patent Foundation (“PUBPAT”) is a not-for-profit legal services organization that represents the public interest in the patent system, and most particularly the public interest against the harms caused by undeserved patents and unsound patent policy. PUBPAT provides the general public and specific persons or entities otherwise deprived of access to the system governing patents with representation, advocacy and education. PUBPAT has argued for sound patent policy before the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, the USPTO, the European Union Parliament, and the United States House of Representatives. PUBPAT has also requested that the USPTO reexamine specifically identified undeserved patents causing significant harm to the public. The USPTO has granted each such request. These accomplishments have established PUBPAT as a leading provider of public service patent legal services and one of the loudest voices advocating for comprehensive patent reform.

The Computer & Communications Industry Association (“CCIA”) is a not-for-profit trade

association dedicated to principles of full, fair, and open competition. CCIA members participate in many sectors of the computer, information technology, and telecommunications industries and range in size from small entrepreneurial firms to the largest in the industry. CCIA members use the patent system regularly, and depend upon it to fulfill its constitutional purpose of promoting innovation. However, CCIA is increasingly concerned that the patent system has expanded without adequate accountability and oversight.

AARP is a nonpartisan, nonprofit membership organization of over 39 million persons, age 50 or older, dedicated to addressing the needs and interests of older Americans. As the country's largest membership organization, AARP has a long history of advocating for access to affordable health care and for controlling costs without compromising quality. AARP, therefore, has a strong interest in this case since pharmaceutical companies' manipulation of the patent system has thwarted the entry of generics to the marketplace, thereby reducing access to affordable prescription drug treatments. Affordable prescription medication is particularly important to the older population which, because of its higher rates of chronic and serious health conditions, has the highest rate of prescription drug use. Persons over sixty-five, although only thirteen percent of the population, account for thirty-four percent of all prescriptions dispensed and forty-two cents of every dollar expended on prescription drugs.<sup>1</sup> Prescription drug spending has skyrocketed over the last decade and a half. Since 1990, national health expenditures on prescription drugs have quadrupled from \$40 billion to \$188 billion in 2004. Because prescription drug spending has skyrocketed over the last fifteen years, thereby limiting AARP's members' access to medically

---

<sup>1</sup> Families USA, *Cost Overdose: Growth in Drug Spending for the Elderly, 1992-2010* at 2 (July 2000).

necessary medicines,<sup>2</sup> AARP advocates for policies that can broaden access to prescription drugs, such as adding prescription drug coverage to the Medicare program (Part D), and for policies that lower the cost of prescriptions for consumers. Since generic drugs generally cost much less than their brand-name counterparts, AARP has worked at the state and national levels to increase access to lower cost generic versions of drugs.

The Consumer Federation of America (“CFA”) is the nation's largest consumer-advocacy group, composed of over 280 state and local affiliates representing consumer, senior citizen, low income, labor, farm, public power and cooperative organizations, with more than 50 million individual members. CFA represents consumer interests before federal and state regulatory and legislative agencies and in court proceedings.

Essential Action is a project of Essential Information, a non-profit, tax-exempt organization founded in 1982 that encourages citizens to become active and engaged in their communities. Essential Action is concerned particularly about the harmful impact of poor quality patents on prescription drug prices and medicine affordability, and more generally about the negative impact the patent system can have on the public when it is abused by patent applicants.

The Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights (“FTCR”) is a nationally recognized non-partisan, non-profit organization representing the interests of taxpayers and consumers. Its mission is to provide an effective voice for taxpayers and consumers in an era when special interests dominate public discourse, government and politics. FTCR's programs include health care reform, oversight of insurance rates, energy policy, protecting legal rights, corporate reform

---

<sup>2</sup> See e.g. AARP, *Rx Watchdog Report*, June 2007, Vol. 4, Issue 5, available at [http://www.aarp.org/issues/rx\\_watchdog/a2004-10-25-watchdog-archive.html](http://www.aarp.org/issues/rx_watchdog/a2004-10-25-watchdog-archive.html).

and political accountability. FTCR's Stem Cell Oversight and Accountability Project seeks to protect the interests of California taxpayers and patients as California's landmark \$6 billion stem cell research project is implemented. As part of its Stem Cell Project, FTCR successfully sought re-examination of three patents on embryonic stem cells that were impeding research efforts. FTCR continues to oppose unjust patents that hinder research and hurt patients.

The Initiative for Medicines, Access & Knowledge (“I-MAK”) is a not-for-profit group that provides technical assistance on intellectual property and pharmaceutical products to governments, suppliers promoting access, public health organizations and civil society groups. I-MAK challenges unsound patent systems globally and works toward creating systemic change so that newer and more affordable drugs are made available for the public. I-MAK also offers tools and resources to the public helping increase knowledge of the pharmaceutical patenting process.

Knowledge Ecology International (“KEI”) is a not-for-profit organization with offices in Washington, DC, London and Geneva. KEI searches for better outcomes, including new solutions, to the management of knowledge resources, with an emphasis on the needs of consumers and low income persons. KEI's primary focus at present concerns the best way to reconcile innovation and access (i+a) for medical technologies, including pharmaceutical drugs. KEI is also concerned that unwarranted encroachments on the public domain by patents that lack appropriate standards for inventive step will harm efforts to develop open standards for information technologies.

Prescription Access Litigation LLC (“PAL”) is a project of Community Catalyst, Inc., a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization that builds consumer and community participation in the shaping of the U.S. health system to ensure quality, affordable health care for all. PAL is a

coalition of over 130 organizations in 35 states and the District of Columbia. The organizations in PAL's coalition have a combined membership of over 13 million people, and include state and local organizations representing consumers and seniors, statewide health care access coalitions, and labor unions. PAL works to end illegal prescription drug price inflation by pharmaceutical manufacturers and others by facilitating the participation of consumers, advocacy organizations and third party payors (health plans, union benefit funds and others) in class action litigation challenging such price inflation practices. PAL joins this brief because PAL is concerned that abuse of the patent system leads to higher prescription drug prices for consumers.

Public Knowledge (“PK”) is a public interest advocacy and education organization that promotes a balanced approach to intellectual property law and technology policy reflecting the “cultural bargain” intended by the framers of the U.S. Constitution. PK promotes fundamental democratic principles and cultural values of openness, access, and the capacity to create and compete. PK advocates for patent law and policy that encourages innovation and creativity.

Research on Innovation (“ROI”) is a not-for-profit organization created to conduct, sponsor and promote research on technological innovation and to disseminate the results of this research to a broad audience, both in academia and in industry. ROI's research indicates that patents can have a substantial positive impact on innovation if patent policy is sound and balanced.

The Software Freedom Law Center (“SFLC”) is a not-for-profit legal services organization that provides legal representation and other law-related services to protect and advance Free and Open Source Software (FOSS), software distributed under terms that give recipients freedom to copy, modify and redistribute the software. SFLC provides pro bono legal services to non-profit

FOSS developers and helps the general public better understand the legal aspects of FOSS. SFLC is concerned about the impact the patent system has on the development and distribution of FOSS.

The Public Interest Amici, despite having various missions and activities, are united in their belief that patent law and policy should be crafted to ensure that it benefits the public interest. As such, the Public Interest Amici wish to submit a unified brief addressing the public interest impact of the USPTO's final rules published on August 21, 2007, *Changes to Practice for Continued Examination Filings, Patent Applications Containing Patentably Indistinct Claims, and Examination of Claims in Patent Applications*, 72 Fed. Reg. 46,716 (Aug. 21, 2007) (to be codified at 37 C.F.R. pt. 1) ("Final Rules"). More specifically, the Public Interest Amici wish to express a single voice through their brief that addresses how the public interest will be well served by the Final Rules, how the Final Rules, because of their positive impact on the public interest, are fair, rational and reasonable, and why equity also supports the Final Rules.

### **ARGUMENT**

Despite what many people believe, the patent system has extremely far reaching effects on all Americans. Specifically, undeserved patents and unsound patent policy harm the public by making products and services more expensive, if not completely unavailable, by preventing scientists from advancing technology, by unfairly prejudicing small businesses, and by restraining civil liberties and individual freedoms. Although the public can indeed benefit from a properly functioning patent system, since patents are nothing short of government sanctioned restraints on freedom and competition, the public can also be severely harmed by errors within the patent system. For that reason, patent law and policy should be crafted with full knowledge of all of the

effects, both positive and negative, the patent system has on all people.

Unfortunately, however, it is too often the case that not all of the interests affected by the patent system are adequately represented in patent policy discussions. Specifically, the interests of the non-patent holding public are almost always absent from any meaningful participation in decision making about the patent system, despite the fact that they can bear the brunt of its burdens. This lack of representation of the public interest is due in part to the fact that the patent community culture tends to dismiss and exclude the opinions of those it sees as unsophisticated outsiders, but it is mostly because the general public does not yet realize how much the patent system actually affects them. Regardless, the result is that the ears of judges hearing cases related to patent policy are too often monopolized by the concentrated group of special interests that benefit from an enlarged patent system, namely patent holders and patent attorneys, while the general public interest in favor of a more balanced patent system is rarely heard.

As with any body of law that applies to and affects all Americans, patent policy should be made with consideration of all of the public's interests, not just the specific interests of patent holders and patent attorneys. Thus, the Public Interest Amici intend to represent those otherwise underrepresented interests to the Court in the proposed brief. Since the interests they represent are substantial and unique, the Public Interest Amici believe that their brief could aid the Court in its analysis of the issues in this case. *Cobell v. Norton*, 246 F. Supp. 2d 59 (D.D.C. 2003); *Citizens Against Casino Gambling in Erie County v. Kempthorne*, 471 F. Supp. 2d 295 (W.D.N.Y. 2007).



**CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated herein, the Public Interest Amici respectfully request that the Court grant their motion for leave and permit the filing of the concurrently submitted *amici* brief in support of the defendants' anticipated summary judgment motions.

Respectfully submitted,

*Of Counsel*

Daniel B. Ravicher  
PUBLIC PATENT FOUNDATION, INC.  
1375 Broadway, Suite 600  
New York, New York 10018  
Tel.: (212) 796-0570  
Fax: (212) 591-6038  
ravicher@pubpat.org

\_\_\_\_\_  
*/s/*  
Matthew Schruers (VSB # 48752)  
COMPUTER & COMMUNICATIONS  
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION  
900 17<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20006  
Tel.: (202) 783-0070  
Fax: (202) 783-0534  
mschruers@ccianet.org

David Balto  
2600 Virginia Ave. N.W. Suite 1111  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
Tel.: 202-577-5424  
david.balto@yahoo.com

*Counsel for Amici Curiae Public Patent  
Foundation, Computer & Communications  
Industry Association, AARP, Consumer  
Federation of America, Essential Action,  
Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights,  
Initiative for Medicines, Access & Knowledge,  
Knowledge Ecology International, Prescription  
Access Litigation, Public Knowledge, Research  
on Innovation, and Software Freedom Law Center*

Dated: December 20, 2007

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 20th day of December 2007, I caused a copy of the foregoing MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION OF *AMICI CURIAE* PUBLIC PATENT FOUNDATION, COMPUTER & COMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION, AARP, CONSUMER FEDERATION OF AMERICA, ESSENTIAL ACTION, FOUNDATION FOR TAXPAYER AND CONSUMER RIGHTS, INITIATIVE FOR MEDICINES, ACCESS & KNOWLEDGE, KNOWLEDGE ECOLOGY INTERNATIONAL, PRESCRIPTION ACCESS LITIGATION, PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE, RESEARCH ON INNOVATION, AND SOFTWARE FREEDOM LAW CENTER FOR LEAVE TO FILE A BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' ANTICIPATED MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT to be electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send a notification of such filing to the following:

Elizabeth M. Locke  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
655 15th Street, NW Suite 1200  
Washington, DC 20005  
Email: elocke@kirkland.com

and

Craig C. Reilly  
Richard McGettigan Reilly & West PC  
1725 Duke Street Suite 600  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Email: craig.reilly@rmrwlaw.com

*Counsel for GSK Plaintiffs*

Joseph Dale Wilson, III  
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP  
Washington Harbour  
3050 K Street NW Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20007  
Email: jwilson@kelleydrye.com

*Counsel for Plaintiff Tafas*

Lauren A. Wetzler  
United States Attorney's Office  
2100 Jamison Ave.  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Email: lauren.wetzler@usdoj.gov

*Counsel for the Defendants*

Thomas J. O'Brien  
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius  
1111 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
Email: to'brien@morganlewis.com

*Counsel for Amicus American Intellectual Property Lawyers Association*

Dawn-Marie Bey  
Kilpatrick Stockton, LLP  
700 13th Street, NW, Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20005  
Email: dbey@kslaw.com

*Counsel for Amicus Hexas, LLC, The Roskamp Institute, Tikvah Therapeutics, Inc.*

James Murphy Dowd  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale & Dorr LLP  
1455 Pennsylvania Ave., NW  
Washington, DC 20004  
Email: james.dowd@wilmerhale.com

*Counsel for Amicus Pharmaceutical Research and Manufactures of America*

Rebecca Malkin Carr  
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP  
2300 N St NW  
Washington, DC 20037  
Email: rebecca.carr@pillsburylaw.com

and

Scott Jeffrey Pivnick  
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP  
1650 Tysons Blvd, Suite 400  
McLean, VA 22102  
Email: scott.pivnick@pillsburylaw.com

*Counsel for Amicus Elan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.*

Randall Karl Miller  
Arnold & Porter LLP

1600 Tysons Blvd., Suite 900  
McLean, VA 22102  
Email: randall\_miller@aporter.com

*Counsel for Amicus Monsanto Company*

Charles Gorenstein  
Michael K. Mutter  
Birch, Stewart, Kolasch and Birch, LLP  
8110 Gatehouse Rd., Suite 100 East  
Falls Church, Virginia 22042  
Email: cg@bskb.com

*Counsel for Amicus Intellectual Property Institute of the William Mitchell College of Law*

Robert E. Scully Jr.  
Stites & Harbison PLLC  
1199 North Fairfax Street, Suite 900  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
Email: rscully@stites.com

*Counsel for Amicus Human Genome Sciences, Inc.*

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/

Matthew Schruers (VSB # 48752)  
COMPUTER & COMMUNICATIONS  
INDUSTRY ASSOCIATION  
900 17<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20006  
Tel.: (202) 783-0070  
Fax: (202) 783-0534  
mschruers@ccianet.org

*Counsel for Amici Curiae Public Patent  
Foundation, Computer & Communications  
Industry Association, AARP, Consumer  
Federation of America, Essential Action,  
Foundation for Taxpayer and Consumer Rights,  
Initiative for Medicines, Access & Knowledge,  
Knowledge Ecology International, Prescription  
Access Litigation, Public Knowledge, Research on  
Innovation, and Software Freedom Law Center*