

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
(Alexandria Division)

ROSETTA STONE LTD.

Plaintiff,

v.

GOOGLE INC.

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:09cv736
(GBL / TCB)

ORDER

UPON CONSIDERATION OF the parties' Consent Motions to Alter or Amend Deadlines (Dkt. Nos. 249, 258), it is hereby

ORDERED that parties' Motions to Alter or Amend Deadlines are **GRANTED**; and it is hereby further

ORDERED that the Joint Discovery Plan (Dkt. No. 247) and corresponding Scheduling Order (Dkt. No. 248) shall be amended as follows

4. Motions in Limine.

- a. Google intends to file motions in limine based on the historical record to clarify the scope of the case eligible to go to the jury; Google believes that these rulings may later justify a motion for summary judgment on some or all of Rosetta Stone's claims in view of intervening legal developments. Rosetta Stone believes that all motions in limine should be filed at the same time shortly before trial as set forth in paragraph 4(b). Subject to and without waiving Rosetta Stone's arguments regarding any such motions filed by Google, the parties have agreed to the following schedule:

