Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.

Plaintiff.

v.

Civil Action No. 2:11-cv-512

AOL INC., et al.,

Defendants.

PROPOSED ORDER

Before the Court is the Motion to Seal ("Defendants' Motion to Seal") filed by Defendants Google Inc., Target Corporation, IAC Search & Media, Inc., Gannett Co., Inc. and AOL Inc. (collectively "Defendants").

After considering the Motion to Seal, Order and related filings, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion to Seal should be granted. It is therefore ORDERED as follows:

1. Defendants have asked to file under seal (1) Portions of Defendants' Opening Brief on Issues Raised in the Court's August 14 Order ("Portions of Defendants' Opening Brief'); (2) Portions of the Brief in Support of Defendants' Motion for Leave to Submit Evidence and Offer Proof in Support of Defendants' Opening Brief on Issues Raised in the Court's August 14 Order ("Portions of Defendants' Brief in Support of Motion for Leave"); and (3) Exhibits 1-3 to the Declaration of Howard Chen in Support of Defendants' Motion for Leave to Submit Evidence and Offer Proof in Support of Defendants' Opening Brief on Issues Raised in the Court's August 14 Order ("Certain Exhibits to the Chen Declaration") as they contain data

that is confidential under the Protective Order entered in this matter on January 23, 2012 (Doc. No. 85) ("Protective Order").

- 2. There are three requirements for sealing court filings: (1) public notice with an opportunity to object; (2) consideration of less drastic alternatives; and (3) a statement of specific findings in support of a decision to seal and rejecting alternatives to sealing. *See, e.g., Flexible Benefits Council v. Feltman*, No. 1:08-CV-371, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93039 (E.D. Va. Nov. 13, 2008) (citing *Ashcraft v. Conoco, Inc.*, 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000)).
- 3. This Court finds that Portions of Defendants' Opening Brief, Portions of Defendants' Brief in Support of Motion for Leave, and Certain Exhibits to the Chen Declaration contain data that is confidential under the Protective Order; that public notice has been given, that no objections have been filed; that the public's interest in access is outweighed by the interests in preserving such confidentiality; and that there are no alternatives that appropriately serve these interests.
- 4. Specifically, the Court finds the following reasons for sealing the requested pleadings: Portions of Defendants' Opening Brief, Portions of Defendants' Brief in Support of Motion for Leave, and Certain Exhibits to the Chen Declaration contain highly confidential business and trade secret information all of which is not generally known, has economic value, and the disclosure of which would cause competitive harm if made widely public. The Court also finds that by filing narrowly redacted public pleadings, the Defendants have made all reasonable efforts to limit their redactions in compliance with the law of this Circuit.
- 5. *In camera* copies of Portions of Defendants' Opening Brief, Portions of Defendants' Brief in Support of Motion for Leave, and Certain Exhibits to the Chen Declaration have been reviewed by the Court. In light of Defendants' concerns and the Protective Order,

99999.77949/5594552.1

there appears to be no alternative other than the narrowly redacted public pleadings that

appropriately serves Defendants' expressed confidentiality concerns.

6. For the sake of consistency with practices governing the case as a whole, Portions

of Defendants' Opening Brief, Portions of Defendants' Brief in Support of Motion for Leave,

and Certain Exhibits to the Chen Declaration shall remain sealed and be treated in accordance

with the terms and conditions of the Protective Order.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Portions of Defendants' Opening Brief, Portions of

Defendants' Brief in Support of Motion for Leave, and Certain Exhibits to the Chen Declaration

shall be filed under seal. The Court shall retain sealed materials until forty-five (45) days after

3

entry of a final order after appeal.

Entered:	/	
		_
United State	s District Court	
Eastern Dist	rict of Virginia	

99999.77949/5594552.1

WE ASK FOR THIS:

/s/Stephen E. Noona

Stephen E. Noona Virginia State Bar No. 25367 KAUFMAN & CANOLES, P.C. 150 West Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: (757) 624-3000 Facsimile: (757) 624-3169 senoona@kaufcan.com

David Bilsker
David A. Perlson
QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
SULLIVAN, LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Counsel for Defendants Google Inc., Target Corporation, IAC Search & Media, Inc., and Gannett Co., Inc.

/s/ Stephen E. Noona

Stephen E. Noona Virginia State Bar No. 25367 KAUFMAN & CANOLES, P.C. 150 West Main Street, Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: (757) 624-3000 Facsimile: (757) 624-3169 senoona@kaufcan.com

Robert L. Burns
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190

Telephone: (571) 203-2700 Facsimile: (202) 408-4400

99999.77949/5594552.1

Courtney S. Alexander FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 94111

Telephone: (404) 653-6400 Facsimile: (415) 653-6444

Counsel for Defendant AOL Inc.

5

12769105v1