UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.,))
v.	Plaintiff,)) Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
AOL, INC. et al.,)
	Defendants.))

MOTION TO SEAL I/P ENGINE, INC.'S OPENING BRIEF ON POST-JUDGMENT ROYALTIES AND ACCOMPANYING DECLARATIONS OF DRS. STEPHEN BECKER AND OPHIR FRIEDER

Pursuant to Local Rule 5 and the Agreed Protective Order entered by the Court [Dkt. No. 85], Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc. ("I/P Engine") respectfully moves this Court for entry of the attached Order permitting Plaintiff to file under seal its Opening Brief on Post-Judgment Royalties and accompanying Declarations of Drs. Stephen Becker and Ophir Frieder (collectively "Opening Brief"). Grounds and authorities for this Motion are set forth in I/P Engine's Memorandum in Support of Motion to Seal. In compliance with Local Rule 5, I/P Engine attaches a Proposed Agreed Order as Exhibit 1 and is filing separately a Public Notice of I/P Engine's Motion to Seal. I/P Engine requests that the Court retain sealed materials until forty-five (45) days after a final order is entered and request that, unless the case is appealed, any sealed materials be returned to counsel for the filing parties. The parties have agreed that confidential materials should be filed under seal.

Dated: October 30, 2013 By: /s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood

Donald C. Schultz (Virginia Bar No. 30531) W. Ryan Snow (Virginia Bar No. 47423) CRENSHAW, WARE & MARTIN PLC 150 West Main Street Norfolk, VA 23510

Telephone: (757) 623-3000 Facsimile: (757) 623-5735

Jeffrey K. Sherwood (Virginia Bar No. 19222)
Frank C. Cimino, Jr.
Kenneth W. Brothers
Charles J. Monterio, Jr.
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP
1825 Eye Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

Telephone: (202) 420-2200 Facsimile: (202) 420-2201

Counsel for Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 30th day of October, 2013, the foregoing was served

via the Court's CM/ECF system, on the following:

Stephen Edward Noona Kaufman & Canoles, P.C. 150 W Main St Suite 2100 Norfolk, VA 23510 senoona@kaufcan.com

David Bilsker
David Perlson
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan LLP
50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
davidbilsker@quinnemanuel.com
davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Robert L. Burns
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP
Two Freedom Square
11955 Freedom Drive
Reston, VA 20190
robert.burns@finnegan.com

Cortney S. Alexander Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow, Garrett & Dunner, LLP 3500 SunTrust Plaza 303 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, GA 94111 cortney.alexander@finnegan.com

/s/ Jeffrey K. Sherwood

EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA NORFOLK DIVISION

I/P ENGINE, INC.,))	
v.	Plaintiff,))	Civ. Action No. 2:11-cv-512
AOL, INC. et al.,)	
	Defendants.))	

[PROPOSED] AGREED ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff I/P Engine, Inc.'s ("I/P Engine") Motion to Seal its Opening Brief on Post-Judgment Royalties and accompanying Declarations of Drs. Stephen Becker and Ophir Frieder (collectively "Opening Brief"). After considering the Motion to Seal, Order and related filings, the Court is of the opinion that the Motion to Seal should be granted. It is therefore ORDERED as follows:

- 1. I/P Engine, Inc.'s Opening Brief on Post-Judgment Royalties.
- 2. Declarations of Dr. Ophir Frieder.
- 3. Declarations of Dr. Stephen Becker.
- 4. There are three requirements for sealing court filings: (1) public notice with an opportunity to object; (2) consideration of less drastic alternatives; and (3) a statement of specific findings in support of a decision to seal and rejecting alternatives to sealing. *See*, *e.g.*, *Flexible Benefits Council v. Feldman*, No. 1:08-CV-371, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 93039 (E.D. Va. Nov. 13, 2008) (citing *Ashcroft v. Conoco*, *Inc.*, 218 F.3d 282, 288 (4th Cir. 2000)). This Court finds

that I/P Engine, Inc.'s Opening Brief may contain data that is confidential under the Protective

Order entered in this matter on January 23, 2012; that public notice has been given, that no

objections have been filed; that the public's interest in access is outweighed by the interests in

preserving such confidentiality; and that there are no alternatives that appropriately serve these

interests.

3. For the sake of consistency with practices governing the case as a whole, I/P

Engine, Inc.'s Opening Brief shall remain sealed and be treated in accordance with the terms and

conditions of the Protective Order.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion to Seal is granted and I/P Engine is

permitted to file under seal its Opening Brief. The Court shall retain sealed materials until forty-

five (45) days after entry of a final order. If the case is not appealed, any sealed materials should

then be returned to counsel for the filing party.

United States District Court Eastern District of Virginia

2